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The Communist Party

WHAT DISTINGUISHES OUR PARTY – The line running from Marx to Lenin to the foundation of the Third International and the birth of the Communist Party of Italy in Leghorn (Livorno) 1921, and from there to the struggle of the Italian Communist Left against the degeneration in Moscow and to the rejection of popular fronts and coalitions of resistance groups – The tough work of restoring the revolutionary doctrine and the party organ, in contact with the working class, outside the realm of personal politics and electoral manoeuvres.

We have examined the historical and political factors which led to the birth of the Marxist party. We shall now turn to the question of its ideological and political content.

Our critique leads us to the conclusion that the Italian Party has added nothing to the traditional programme of bourgeois political parties. The Fascist party, despite its excessive military capabilities and its massive propaganda, is a failure. It has been unable to solve the economic and social problems of the Italian people. In fact, it has only managed to increase the misery of the working class.

The original programme of Fascism was, indeed, a reaction against the triumph of the Labour Movement in Italy. The bourgeoisie, faced with the threat of a general strike, decided to use Fascism as a tool to prevent the Working Class from exercising its political rights.

The Fascist programme was not an ideological programme, but a programme of action. Its main aim was to create a new society in which the working class would not have the possibility of exercising its political rights.

The Fascist party was able to gain power because it was able to appeal to the fears of the Italian people. The workers, the peasants, and the small business owners were all afraid of the possibility of a general strike, and they were willing to support the Fascist party in order to prevent it.

The Fascist party was able to gain power because it was able to appeal to the fears of the Italian people. The workers, the peasants, and the small business owners were all afraid of the possibility of a general strike, and they were willing to support the Fascist party in order to prevent it.

In conclusion, the Fascist party is a failure. It has been unable to solve the economic and social problems of the Italian people. It has only managed to increase the misery of the working class.
For a Clear Distinction between Unions and Political Parties

The following speech was delivered by one of our comrades to an assembly of the Coordinamento Lavoratori e Lavoratrici Autoconvocati per l'Unità della Classe (Coordination of Autonomous Workers for the Unity of the Class) in Florence, Italy on June 27. It is, in part, a response to the leadership of SI Cobas, a prominent “unified” trade union organization that aims to form its own political party. Our purpose is to make clear the difference between “unions-party” front that those organizations propound for the working class to support that front, in order to bring the proletariat to political action, and the coordination of workersunist parties: non-market economic and political parties.

We want to begin this assembly by making clear that: the objective of all trade union organizations, whether they are traditional or “united,” is the call for a meeting of the working class. In other words, it is clear that the difference that we are going to propose between political parties and trade union organizations is not a question of what “kind of unity?” is desired by the working class. It is certain that a more frequent strike wave and active workers’ militancy mobilizes against the depression that is developing as a deepening economic crisis.

Typically, in the face of economic stagnation and a capitalist crisis, which are national unity, that is, unity between workers and employers, in order to press on the effects of the crisis. But, why do we need political and ideological eminence of the bourgeoisie? We demand the achievement of the common goal that we all demand: the unity of the workers, the unity of working people, against the most at risk and therefore the most threatened: the working class. It is clear what kind of unity we are talking about.

The United States and the Middle East

Continued from page 1

A Partial Retreat

The persistent dispute between the United States and the other major oil-producing countries, namely Russia and the Middle East, over the American$S, has been a major factor in keeping the US economy afloat. In the meantime, Tehran, following Trump's unilateral break-up of the nuclear pact and the attitude of acquiescent submission of its delinquent bourgeois fractions involved. The United States and the Middle East
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Port Workers Strike in Montreal

The Montreal Longshoremen’s Union (Canadian Union of Public Employees (CUPE) Local 375) declared a 4-day strike beginning on July 13 after a tentative agreement had affected all activities at the Port of Montreal from the start of the month. The strike has entered its 4th day, in order to demand the approval of the agreement by the UN General Assembly. Among the demands of the Montreal Longshoremen’s Association, which represents 175 longshoremen, were wage cuts of 25% of new hires, as well as improved job security and the right to negotiate commodity industry jobs. The strike was called to avoid a weak criticism that has been addressed to the union for its use of the strike as a means of pressuring the working class. This is, in order to relate with the capitalist class as if the strike were a real struggle.
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