

The International Communist Party

Newspaper of the International Communist Party

WHAT DISTINGUISHES OUR PARTY – The line running from Marx to Lenin to the foundation of the Third International and the birth of the Communist Party of Italy in Leghorn (Livorno) 1921, and from there to the struggle of the Italian Communist Left against the degeneration in Moscow and to the rejection of popular fronts and coalition of resistance groups – The tough work of restoring the revolutionary doctrine and the party organ, in contact with the working class, outside the realm of personal politics and electoralist manoeuvres

Dec/Jan 2026

Issue 67
1\$ 1£ 1€

IN VENEZUELA: MOBILIZATION AND GENERAL STRIKE AGAINST WAR!

For the Struggle of the Working Class Against the Bourgeoisie! For Higher Wages and Pensions and Better Living Conditions!

(The following leaflet was written for distribution by the Venezuelan section of the Party)

In the early hours of January 3, 2026, the US launched a military attack on various facilities in and around the Venezuelan capital, resulting in the capture and removal of President Nicolás Maduro and his wife.

This military aggression was carried out under the "justification" of combating drug trafficking. For many decades, the US never took military action against Colombia or Mexico to capture any of the drug lords. The US's fight against drug trafficking is very accommodating and selective. And with this narrative, they once again mocked what bourgeois legality calls "international law," making it clear that "law" is imposed by those who have the power to do so.

But it is clear that US imperialism is

carrying out a series of operations as part of its confrontation with Chinese imperialism, particularly focused on trying to preserve its control and influence in the American continent, which, in addition to being a market for its products, represents an important source of raw materials, with Venezuela being a key player due to its vast oil and gas reserves and its deposits of gold, diamonds, and various minerals of strategic value. This was confirmed hours later at a press conference, where Trump openly and explicitly stated that the United States will "govern" and administer Venezuela on a temporary basis until an "appropriate transition" is achieved and, in this context, the US will take control of the oil infrastructure to "fix it," as it considers it "totally ruined" after years of Chavista management.

Trump announced that major US oil companies (referring to them as "the largest in the world") will enter Venezuela to invest billions of dollars in repairing wells and re-

fineries, reviving large-scale production to "generate money for the country" and managing exports, noting that the US will sell "large quantities" of Venezuelan crude oil to other nations.

Trump assured that the military intervention and subsequent administration "will not cost U.S. taxpayers a penny." According to him, the expenses will be reimbursed with the "money that comes out of the ground" (oil revenues), using the resource to cover the costs of the operation and reconstruction. He clarified that the total oil embargo on Venezuela remains in force and under strict control of his administration, ensuring that oil will not be allowed to benefit the previous government structure.

Following Maduro's capture, the U.S. government is now communicating and negotiating with a new interlocutor (Delsy Rodríguez, the vice president, who now assumes the office of president) and addressing issues related to control of the oil business

and a possible transition to a new government. It remains to be seen how Chinese imperialism, which has been exporting capital to the region and will need to protect its interests, will react.

Venezuelan workers, who survive on starvation wages and pensions, or are harassed by unemployment and informal work, must understand that this is a clash between two capitalist states and governments. Workers in Venezuela and around the world cannot mobilize to support imperialist action, defend the Chavista government, or support any of the options for government change that bourgeois democracy may present to them. This is a fight between the enemies of workers, between those who exploit wage labor. The only real way out is the resumption of the class struggle by workers in Venezuela and around the world, putting forward their main economic demands.

In Venezuela and in all countries, let us bring the struggles together in an indefinite

general strike without minimum services.

From different countries, the only possible solidarity must be class solidarity, solidarity with the Venezuelan working class and its struggles. Calls for "solidarity with Venezuela" or "solidarity with the Venezuelan government" are nothing more than reactionary calls to defend capitalism, exploitation, and the bourgeoisie.

No defense of the homeland, no alliances with the bourgeoisie!

The working class has no homeland!
The only way out of war is communist revolution!

International unity of the working class!

Let's promote a Class-Based Trade Union United Front that mobilizes workers for their main socio-economic demands!

Massage Parlor Capitalism: The McDonalization of Sex Slavery in America

Venezuela's Government Calls for a Union Constituent Assembly, in the Best Style of Fascism and Yellow Unionism

(The following article was written in December 2025 by the Venezuelan section prior to the U.S. intervention in Venezuela in January of this year.)

Without even keeping up appearances, the Venezuelan bourgeois government, through its Minister of Labor and President Maduro himself, called for a "Union Constituent Assembly" and a Workers' Congress to be held in December. The convocation aims to reform the Bolivarian Socialist Workers' Central (CBST), which is the most recent example of "yellow unionism" that has developed from the highest levels of government.

Such is the cynicism of these representatives of the bourgeoisie that they have declared that yellow and employer unions must be eliminated (!). So far, they have stated that unions must adapt to current circumstances and to the government's plans and policies, that they must organize entrepreneurs (a figure promoted by the gov-

ernment so that sectors of workers take on the role of small business owners) and "co-muneros" (workers who are part of government-promoted communes and who do not necessarily work as salaried employees but as small entrepreneurs in small-scale projects). They also want unions to be aligned with the defense of the homeland and the territory and to organize workers' militias for this purpose. The government has also emphasized the role of unions in ensuring the operational continuity of companies and supporting the smooth functioning of the national economy.

It remains to be seen to what extent the government will achieve significant progress with this political move, which also seeks to put it in the best position to curb or manage a possible social uprising, arising not from the schemes of opponents or "conspirators" or "terrorists," but from the spontaneous reaction of the masses burdened by low wages, unemployment, and poverty.

The Return of the Battleship

Huntington Ingalls Industries, the primary shipbuilder for the U.S., has been awarded a new naval contract tied to the Trump administration's push to expand the surface fleet, a move unfolding amid rising tensions in the Western Hemisphere. Liberal commentators have rushed to dismiss the project as inefficient or obsolete, debating whether a modern "battleship" fits contemporary military doctrine and framing the development as another irrational vanity project by Trump, whose policies are always framed as ever the exception from the normal state of things. Capital's response has been more enlightening as Huntington Ingalls' share price rose following the announcement, signaling investor confidence that this is not spectacle but a durable commitment of the state. As Washington escalates pressure on Caracas, naval expansion serves as a material assertion of dominance in the Caribbean and Atlantic regions central to U.S. imperial reach.

The contract also points to a broader economic need for U.S. capital in terms of the absorption of industrial overcapacity, particularly in steel. Large surface combatants require vast quantities of specialized steel plate and components, and naval procurement provides a stable, state-guaranteed outlet for an industry facing volatile demand, foreign competition, and recurring crises. Rebuilding the fleet thus functions as industrial policy by other means, channeling public funds into domestic steel production and

shipyard employment while binding suppliers into long-term defense contracts. The proposed vessels are slated to host experimental weapons systems, including electromagnetic railguns and directed-energy weapons, integrating heavy steel construction with advanced electronics and power systems. Whether these technologies mature is secondary; their development sustains military industrial expansion critical for the continued accumulation of U.S. capital increasingly starving itself as the over-production crisis intensifies.

Imperialism does not arm itself because weapons are aesthetically pleasing despite the ramblings of whichever bourgeois happens to be president at the time; it arms itself because capital requires secure trade routes, disciplined regions, and reliable industrial demand. In the context of tensions with Venezuela and broader competition over maritime control, naval rearmament ensures that economic pressure can be applied by force, while simultaneously providing an outlet for steel and shipbuilding capital in search of guaranteed markets. The rise in Huntington Ingalls' stock, the clustering of shipbuilding initiatives, and the linkage between naval expansion and industrial revival all point to the same conclusion: this program is not an anachronism, but a symptom of an imperial system shoring up both its geopolitical reach and its industrial base, indifferent to liberal skepticism and unmoved by moral critique.

Jews and Palestinians Between Ideologies and Class Realities

Nationalist ideologies, converging throughout the world, are vigorously propagated, aimed at inducing peoples to prepare for mutual carnage.

In their manifestation in Israel, these nationalist delusions openly draw on racism, Jewish supremacism, and messianism with biblical references.

The thesis of collective responsibility of the Palestinian population is put forward, which would justify an equally collective punishment. Israeli President Herzog, in the *Huffpost* newspaper on October 13, 2023, stated that "the entire Palestinian nation is responsible. The rhetoric that civilians are unaware and uninvolved is absolutely untrue: they could have risen up." Likud MP Tally Gotliv proposed dropping an atomic bomb on Gaza; perhaps she was confident that the atomic bomb would behave like the biblical angel of death on Passover, sparing the Jews and striking only their enemies. An Israeli rabbi declared that Palestinian children are future terrorists, so there is no need to have scruples if they are killed. Words that bring to mind Zyklon B...

The statement made in Haaretz on September 6, 2023, by Tamir Pardo, former head of Mossad, has a different meaning: "A territory where two people are judged according to two legal systems is a state of apartheid."

The supposed "subhuman" condition of Palestinians in relation to the superior Jewish race is constructed, imposed, and maintained by the State of Israel, which, for example, has had no qualms about supporting, financing, and arming Hamas for about 40 years, with the aim of keeping the Palestinian proletariat subjugated to imperialism and preventing any possibility, however unlikely under the current conditions, of their national affirmation.

It is certainly useful for the Israeli bourgeoisie, and for the North American imperialism of which it is a vassal, to keep the Palestinian proletariat divided between Israel, Gaza, and the West Bank, and even more so from the Israeli Jewish proletariat.

Among the Palestinians, things are no different. In the 1980s, Hamas, Islamic Jihad, and Fatah proclaimed that Jews were "sons or brothers of monkeys and pigs." Thirty years later, the advisor on religious affairs to the president of the Palestinian National Authority called them "humanoids."

We communists have never underestimated the importance of religions and ideologies, but we know that at their core, what ultimately determines historical events are the relations of production, the class relations. All the aberrations mentioned are only

a means used by the Israeli bourgeoisie to pursue its goals, namely the strengthening of its state, which is also useful to the imperialism of which it is a vassal and whose interests it safeguards in the Middle East.

Even among Muslims, the centuries-old rivalry between Sunnis and Shiites is still important, but this does not detract from the fact that in practice it is used according to the interests of the various bourgeoisies.

Capitalists rekindle or dampen ideological and religious disputes to hide their true interests and their true enemies. The umptenth example is Syria, where a Syrian offshoot of Al Qaeda, which has changed its name several times over the years, has come to power. Hatred of the American "Satan" and the Israeli "Satan" has not prevented this group from receiving weapons and funding from them, either directly or through Turkey, Qatar, and others. Bourgeois alliances come and go, as do terrorism labels.

The Syrian Assad regime, as bloody as all bourgeois regimes and states, was an ally of Russian imperialism; for this reason, the United States, together with its European and Middle Eastern servants, did everything possible to bring it down, ultimately succeeding. To this end, they also made use of their former enemies, Al Qaeda, now returned as good allies and on the path to tolerance, if not yet democracy. Alawites, Christians, and Kurds have already had the opportunity to experience this "tolerance," distributed with bullets. The interests of the Sunni Syrian bourgeoisie, represented by the current regime, have therefore led Osama bin Laden's grandchildren to establish good relations with US imperialism and to seek them with Israel.

The Palestinians, forced to survive in a sort of separate and formally independent cantons, as were the South African Bantustans, are being offered the creation of their own independent state. Even if these cantons are not annexed by Israel and this state ends up with a new puppet government in place of the old PNA, the conditions of the Palestinians will not change.

The Palestinians, like Greater Israel, is just a mirage that the Palestinian and Israeli bourgeoisies use to maintain their rule, diverting the healthy and instinctive hatred that proletarians feel towards war and capitalist society against their class brothers in the other nation. There is no national solution for either the Palestinians or the Jews of Israel. The only solution is the united struggle of the Jewish and Palestinian proletariat against their respective bourgeoisies and their respective states or semi-states, for the communist revolution. (cont pg 2)

The bourgeois media report daily on the massacres in Gaza and Ukraine, describing them as national, racial, and religious conflicts, which we Marxists instead see as imperialist, for the strategic control of territories and resources by enormous eco-

nomic interests guaranteed by states.

In many countries, large masses have mobilized against the extermination in Gaza. Here, the major imperialist bourgeoisies have agreed to a semblance of a truce, which, however, claims victims (cont pg 2)

don its client state; at most, it may seek to restrain or discipline Israel in order to curb its own independent imperial ambitions, a contradiction we have previously analyzed in the U.S.-Israeli alliance.

The Republican Party has repeatedly served as an institutional laboratory for this maneuver, combining isolationist rhetoric with uninterrupted imperial practice. So-called isolationism has never in practice opposed U.S. imperial aggression. The current wave is sharpened by the war in Gaza. Widespread revulsion at mass slaughter has opened a political vacuum that revolutionary communism has not yet filled. Into this vacuum step reactionaries who claim to oppose war while recycling the oldest lies of capitalist ideology. For communists, the task is neither to defend liberal hypocrisy nor to tolerate reactionary antisemitism masquerading as modern anti-imperialism. Antisemitism, like all racial ideology, diverts class antagonism away from capital and toward imagined enemies. Its revival within the American right signals only capitals further decay, one more symptom of a system that can reproduce itself only through diversion of the proletarian, mystification of reality, and war.

This follows a well established pattern within bourgeois politics. Rather than identifying capitalism as the forces responsible for war, exploitation, and mass death, these ideologies substitute myths of "Jewish power," and shadowy global elites as the cause of the social decay. By framing Israel's role as the outcome of ethnic or religious influence rather than strategic necessity, they obscure the fact that U.S. imperialism requires Israel as a permanent military, intelligence, and political outpost in the Middle East. Washington will not aban-

don its client state; at most, it may seek to restrain or discipline Israel in order to curb its own independent imperial ambitions, a contradiction we have previously analyzed in the U.S.-Israeli alliance.

The Republican Party has repeatedly served as an institutional laboratory for this maneuver, combining isolationist rhetoric with uninterrupted imperial practice. So-called isolationism has never in practice opposed U.S. imperial aggression. The current wave is sharpened by the war in Gaza. Widespread revulsion at mass slaughter has opened a political vacuum that revolutionary communism has not yet filled. Into this vacuum step reactionaries who claim to oppose war while recycling the oldest lies of capitalist ideology. For communists, the task is neither to defend liberal hypocrisy nor to tolerate reactionary antisemitism masquerading as modern anti-imperialism. Antisemitism, like all racial ideology, diverts class antagonism away from capital and toward imagined enemies. Its revival within the American right signals only capitals further decay, one more symptom of a system that can reproduce itself only through diversion of the proletarian, mystification of reality, and war.

This follows a well established pattern within bourgeois politics. Rather than identifying capitalism as the forces responsible for war, exploitation, and mass death, these ideologies substitute myths of "Jewish power," and shadowy global elites as the cause of the social decay. By framing Israel's role as the outcome of ethnic or religious influence rather than strategic necessity, they obscure the fact that U.S. imperialism requires Israel as a permanent military, intelligence, and political outpost in the Middle East. Washington will not aban-

don its client state; at most, it may seek to restrain or discipline Israel in order to curb its own independent imperial ambitions, a contradiction we have previously analyzed in the U.S.-Israeli alliance.

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

Puerto Rican governmental representative who supports this is gaining more than the illusion of having a spot in the American elite and a fraction of the capital they robbed from the workers. Gonzalez, dropping any false pretense of Puerto Rican national liberation also green-lighted a US military takeover of the island as the United States war machine continuously targets Venezuela after detaining President Maduro on January 3rd 2026, and now potentially Mexico and Colombia. In turn, American workers suffer from increased taxes (indirectly a reduction in wages) to fund this in times where one sudden expense will lead to homelessness which keeps us jailed in wage slavery and forces us to fund the suffering of our fellow working class members.

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of seeing this long-lasting injustice to organize and strike back. We need to recognize that no bourgeoisie of any nation acts in the interest of "their people" and extend our hands beyond these falsified borders for our liberation through building the class union of the working world!

The International Communist Party rejects this escalating attack on all workers as we have watched over and over throughout the decades how treacherous bourgeois pigs of colonizer and colonized countries collaboratively exploit, plunder, and pillage beyond all borders which they created to trap us in this cycle. We urge all workers everywhere, whether in the heart of the empire or in the depths of its colonies, to take your rage of

Darfur...

(continued from pg. 1)

every day, with the (cont pg 2) Israeli army on one side and Hamas on the other undisturbed in killing Palestinians.

On the conflict in Sudan, however, they remain silent.

The country became independent in December 1956 with the withdrawal of British and Egyptian forces that had occupied it since 1898, the date of the historic battle of Omdurman, which marked the end of the Mahdist revolt led by Sudanese rebels (nicknamed "dervishes"), followers of Muhammad Ahmad (the "Mahdi").

The Republic of Sudan was immediately threatened by rivalries between the northern and southern provinces. Marked differences in social development and racial antagonisms divide the populations of the northern provinces, composed of Arabs and Nubians of the Muslim religion, from those of the south, who are black and live mainly in Equatoria and Upper Nile. Since then, a series of coups d'état has changed the leadership, but has not solved any problems, least of all that of the three southern provinces.

From Programma Comunista no. 19 of 1971, we read: "The Umma Party, representing the interests of landowners in the south, and the Unionist Party, representing the interests of the bourgeoisie in the north, have alternated in government, serving in turn the interests of imperialist powers that were soon reduced to three: the United States, Germany, and the USSR. In May 1969, with the rise to power of Gaafar Muhammad an-Numeiry and Awadallah, it seemed that the balance would finally tip in favor of the USSR; the breakdown of relations with the United States and Germany, accused of instigating southern separatism, the rapprochement with Nasser's UAR, and the diplomatic and commercial relations established with the countries of the Moscow area and with China seemed to confirm this reversal. Mahjub's Sudanese Communist Party itself supported Numeiry, albeit 'critically', and the diplomatic frenzy led the President of the new Republic, Awadallah, to say that 'our socialism is specifically Sudanese and it is on the basis of our own traditions that we will build the new Sudan', while Numeiry professed to be 'a moderate socialist who believes in Arab nationalism'.

Soon, however, the inconsistency not only of yet another 'national path to socialism' but of the very 'path' to the country's economic and social development was revealed in all its harshness, with the political incapacity of the Sudanese bourgeoisie and the failure of Moscow's foreign policy. The famous 'mines' exploded repeatedly.

In fact, Sudan would have a future of instability. Various wars followed, causing death, famine, and destruction. What is known as the second civil war, from 1983 to 2005, caused about 1.9 million deaths and over 4 million refugees.

After further conflicts, we arrive at the period between January 9 and 15, 2011, when a referendum was held in South Sudan on secession from the North and the creation of an independent state. The consultation was already part of the 2005 Naivasha Agreement between the Khartoum government and the Sudan People's Liberation Army/Movement (SPLA/M). A simultaneous referendum was held in the province of Abyei to choose whether to be part of

South Sudan or remain in Sudan. Nevertheless, the region remained disputed and effectively subject to joint control.

On February 7, 2011, Sudanese President Omar Hasan Ahmad al-Bashir, formalizing the results of the referendum, proclaimed the birth of the State of South Sudan, which thus became the 54th African state. On July 9, after a trial period, South Sudan's independence was proclaimed and immediately recognized by the Khartoum government.

But the new small state quickly plunged back into war, fought between 2013 and 2018 between forces loyal to President Salva Kiir and those linked to Vice President Riek Machar, which caused at least 400,000 deaths and forced 4 million inhabitants, out of a population of just over 12 million, to flee their homes.

What is being fought in Sudan today is a proxy war, with regional imperialist bourgeoisies competing for the resources of a country rich in gold and raw materials.

Egypt and Eritrea support General Al-Burhan's Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) and his government based in Port Sudan, while Ethiopia, in conflict with Egypt over the GERD dam, supports Hemetti (RSF), Burhan's former deputy in the previous military junta, together with the Central African Republic and Chad.

The United Arab Emirates, which is one of the largest importers of gold from the mines of Darfur, sends weapons, via the Central African Republic and Chad, to the RSF forces, which have been given economic and military support, including training and logistical support. In return, they have been involved in operations against Houthi rebels in Yemen.

Iran, on the other hand, a supporter of the Houthi, in order to counter Emirati influence and expand its presence in the Red Sea region, supplies drones to the Sudanese Armed Forces (SAF) led by al-Burhan.

Saudi Arabia, competing with the Emirates for hegemony over the Red Sea, officially acts as a mediator between the contenders, but in reality maintains close relations with the SAF, partly in gratitude for the support received from Sudanese mercenaries in the war against the Houthi rebels.

Russia is also very active on the ground. In 2017, it managed to reach an agreement for the construction of a naval base on the Sudanese coast of the Red Sea, but this has encountered several obstacles over the years and, as we write, we learn from the Russian ambassador in Khartoum, Andrei Chernov, that its construction has been suspended due to the deterioration of internal security in Sudan.

China is also involved. According to various sources, it has invested \$3 billion in oil fields and pipelines that transport crude oil from northern Sudan to Port Sudan, becoming a leading trading partner for Sudan.

Finally, the United States, while declaring itself neutral, aims to counter the growing Russian, Chinese, and Iranian influence in the region.

In short, it is a web of bourgeois interests in which none of the major world powers are missing, except for a now powerless Europe, without any economic or military strength to show in this conflict.

On September 12, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, the United Arab Emirates, and the United States announced a plan to end the conflict, providing for a three-month truce followed by a ceasefire and a political transition

The International Communist Party

process. But on October 26, with the conquest of the city of El-Fasher, the RSF regained control of the entire western region of Darfur, also maintaining control over large areas of the south of the country, while the Sudanese army controls the northern, eastern, and central regions along the Nile and the Red Sea. The RSF continued its massacres, killing hundreds of civilians, proving once again that scores are settled with the force of arms and not with useless pieces of paper in the form of improbable 'roadmaps', and always at the expense of the poor proletariat.

On April 22, the ISPI website stated:

"The war in Sudan has generated a humanitarian crisis that immediately proved to be extremely serious. Of a population that exceeded 45 million before the war began, 30 million are identified by the United Nations as in need of humanitarian assistance and nearly 25 million are exposed to high levels of food insecurity, while famine has been confirmed in some areas of Darfur and the Nuba Mountains. 12.6 million people have been forcibly displaced by the conflict: of these, 3.8 million have sought refuge in neighboring countries, mainly Egypt (1.5 million), South Sudan (1 million, mostly South Sudanese forced to return to the country from which they had previously fled) and Chad (over 770,000)."

On October 21, Avvenire published a utopian appeal from the Comboni missionaries working there: "Italy must take civilians away from the hell of Darfur." "In the city of El Fasher, besieged for 18 months, 260,000 people are at risk of starvation. Supplies of animal feed distributed to the population have also run out. El Fasher is the capital of hell. Half of them, 130,000, are children (...) A veil of silence has fallen over a civil conflict ignored by the media and the international community, which has caused the greatest humanitarian crisis on the planet with 14 million displaced persons and refugees and 26 million people starving."

This is how capitalism treats the proletariat of the world: it exploits them in their work or condemns them to death!

The wicked war for the division of Sudan's rich underground resources has caused hundreds of thousands of victims and the worst atrocities over the years. We wrote about this in 2019 in issue 396 of our paper. "The pro-government Janjawid militias were engaged in fighting the separatists of the animist and Christian populations of the Darfur region, supported by the United States and Israel. RSF terrorism was used to try to stop a movement that had upset the old political balance in the country and had already led to the removal of power last April of President Omar al-Bashir, who was linked to the Muslim Brotherhood but also had good relations with Russia and China."

The capitalist mode of production now has nothing to offer the proletariat and the dispossessed of the world but suffering and death, in an attempt to survive its own self-destruction. The only salvation is its annihilation through proletarian revolution.

Jews and Palestinians...

(continued from pg. 1)

To those who consider themselves less "utopian" than us, it is easy to respond by showing where all the solutions devised by the policies of the bourgeoisie and opportunists have led.

FOR THE CLASS UNION

From Class Collaboration to Class Unions

The Unions in the Age of Imperialism and the Future Reemergence of the Class Union

Across history, the relationship between trade unions and the bourgeois state has passed through successive phases corresponding to the historically developed phase of capital. At first prohibited outright, then tolerated, the established unions were eventually subordinated once capitalism entered its imperialist phase. In this final phase which predominates today, most of the existing unions are no longer merely pressured from outside by the bourgeois state. They are structurally integrated into the state and into the management of production through a combination of legal regulation, material concessions, and the systematic corruption of leadership. This works to shift the existing unions function from defending workers against capital to negotiating for capital the terms in which labor is sold. Instead of class defensive organs, such unions merely become the human relations firm for the boss.

This degeneration is not due to mistaken leadership or individual betrayal. It flows from the centralization of the forces of the bourgeois class in its State, which is the political content of fascism, the true political nature of capitalism in its imperialist phase ("Everything within the State, nothing outside the State, nothing against the State." - Mussolini).

As long as unions remain confined within bourgeois legality which sets the terms of struggle, to follow a collaborationist union line, they will subordinate workers' interests to those of Capital.

Yet this historical degeneration is not irreversible in principle, nor does it negate the necessity of unions as defensive organs of the proletariat. Class unions, properly understood, are not permanent institutions carried intact across epochs. They reappear on a general scale only when objective conditions force the working class back into open, large-scale conflict.

When crisis sharpens exploitation, destroys reformist buffers, and renders collaboration untenable, workers are driven to recreate organs of immediate defense, often outside, against, or in rupture with the existing union apparatus. These organs typically arise directly from struggle itself, not from organizational blueprints. They are marked by mass participation, militant strike action, demands around wages and conditions, and hostility to state mediation.

This degeneration is not due to mistaken leadership or individual betrayal. It flows from the centralization of the forces of the bourgeois class in its State, which is the political content of fascism, the true political nature of capitalism in its imperialist phase ("Everything within the State, nothing outside the State, nothing against the State." - Mussolini).

As long as unions remain confined within bourgeois legality which sets the terms of struggle, to follow a collaborationist union line, they will subordinate workers' interests to those of Capital.

class character and the resurgence of class unions on a wide scale become possible.

The failure of unions today to respond forcefully to the ongoing decline in workers' wages and conditions is rooted less in the absence of pressure than in the continued ability of capitalism to stabilize a slow and steady deterioration without provoking sharper economic cataclysm. Since 2020, real wages have moved unevenly, emergency state intervention after the pandemic temporarily propped up incomes, while labor shortages allowed workers to demand nominally higher wages, but the inflation surge of 2021-2022 quickly erased those gains as housing, food, energy, and healthcare costs rose faster than wages. More recent modest real-wage improvements have not reversed cumulative losses, and living standards remain precarious.

Yet this decline has been partially cushioned by monetary policy, residual savings, credit expansion, and until recently expanded social programs. These mechanisms function as indirect wages, allowing unions to manage discontent through contracts and negotiations rather than confrontation.

As long as deterioration remains gradual and mediated, workers integrated into the regime unions and the political frameworks of the bourgeois are structurally incapable of breaking with passivity or mobilizing class-wide resistance.

The Unions in the Age of Imperialism and the Future Reemergence of the Class Union

Across history, the relationship between trade unions and the bourgeois state has passed through successive phases corresponding to the historically developed phase of capital. At first prohibited outright, then tolerated, the established unions were eventually subordinated once capitalism entered its imperialist phase. In this final phase which predominates today, most of the existing unions are no longer merely pressured from outside by the bourgeois state. They are structurally integrated into the state and into the management of production through a combination of legal regulation, material concessions, and the systematic corruption of leadership. This works to shift the existing unions function from defending workers against capital to negotiating for capital the terms in which labor is sold. Instead of class defensive organs, such unions merely become the human relations firm for the boss.

This degeneration is not due to mistaken leadership or individual betrayal. It flows from the centralization of the forces of the bourgeois class in its State, which is the political content of fascism, the true political nature of capitalism in its imperialist phase ("Everything within the State, nothing outside the State, nothing against the State." - Mussolini).

As long as unions remain confined within bourgeois legality which sets the terms of struggle, to follow a collaborationist union line, they will subordinate workers' interests to those of Capital.

Yet this historical degeneration is not irreversible in principle, nor does it negate the necessity of unions as defensive organs of the proletariat. Class unions, properly understood, are not permanent institutions carried intact across epochs. They reappear on a general scale only when objective conditions force the working class back into open, large-scale conflict.

When crisis sharpens exploitation, destroys reformist buffers, and renders collaboration untenable, workers are driven to recreate organs of immediate defense, often outside, against, or in rupture with the existing union apparatus. These organs typically arise directly from struggle itself, not from organizational blueprints. They are marked by mass participation, militant strike action, demands around wages and conditions, and hostility to state mediation.

Yet this historical degeneration is not irreversible in principle, nor does it negate the necessity of unions as defensive organs of the proletariat. Class unions, properly understood, are not permanent institutions carried intact across epochs. They reappear on a general scale only when objective conditions force the working class back into open, large-scale conflict.

When crisis sharpens exploitation, destroys reformist buffers, and renders collaboration untenable, workers are driven to recreate organs of immediate defense, often outside, against, or in rupture with the existing union apparatus. These organs typically arise directly from struggle itself, not from organizational blueprints. They are marked by mass participation, militant strike action, demands around wages and conditions, and hostility to state mediation.

Yet this historical degeneration is not irreversible in principle, nor does it negate the necessity of unions as defensive organs of the proletariat. Class unions, properly understood, are not permanent institutions carried intact across epochs. They reappear on a general scale only when objective conditions force the working class back into open, large-scale conflict.

The failure of unions today to respond forcefully to the ongoing decline in workers' wages and conditions is rooted less in the absence of pressure than in the continued ability of capitalism to stabilize a slow and steady deterioration without provoking sharper economic cataclysm. Since 2020, real wages have moved unevenly, emergency state intervention after the pandemic temporarily propped up incomes, while labor shortages allowed workers to demand nominally higher wages, but the inflation surge of 2021-2022 quickly erased those gains as housing, food, energy, and healthcare costs rose faster than wages. More recent modest real-wage improvements have not reversed cumulative losses, and living standards remain precarious.

Yet this decline has been partially cushioned by monetary policy, residual savings, credit expansion, and until recently expanded social programs. These mechanisms function as indirect wages, allowing unions to manage discontent through contracts and negotiations rather than confrontation.

As long as deterioration remains gradual and mediated, workers integrated into the regime unions and the political frameworks of the bourgeois are structurally incapable of breaking with passivity or mobilizing class-wide resistance.

The Unions in the Age of Imperialism and the Future Reemergence of the Class Union

Across history, the relationship between trade unions and the bourgeois state has passed through successive phases corresponding to the historically developed phase of capital. At first prohibited outright, then tolerated, the established unions were eventually subordinated once capitalism entered its imperialist phase. In this final phase which predominates today, most of the existing unions are no longer merely pressured from outside by the bourgeois state. They are structurally integrated into the state and into the management of production through a combination of legal regulation, material concessions, and the systematic corruption of leadership. This works to shift the existing unions function from defending workers against capital to negotiating for capital the terms in which labor is sold. Instead of class defensive organs, such unions merely become the human relations firm for the boss.

This degeneration is not due to mistaken leadership or individual betrayal. It flows from the centralization of the forces of the bourgeois class in its State, which is the political content of fascism, the true political nature of capitalism in its imperialist phase ("Everything within the State, nothing outside the State, nothing against the State." - Mussolini).

As long as unions remain confined within bourgeois legality which sets the terms of struggle, to follow a collaborationist union line, they will subordinate workers' interests to those of Capital.

When crisis sharpens exploitation, destroys reformist buffers, and renders collaboration untenable, workers are driven to recreate organs of immediate defense, often outside, against, or in rupture with the existing union apparatus. These organs typically arise directly from struggle itself, not from organizational blueprints. They are marked by mass participation, militant strike action, demands around wages and conditions, and hostility to state mediation.

Operation...

(continued from pg. 1)

the week of the operation, attendance was down 20%. The bourgeois media decried the pressure that the enforcement put on the city's petty bourgeois, priests and ministers called for Charlotte residents to "choose love over hate", and Latino youths took to the street to vent their frustrations with marches and chants. On November 20th, Sheriff Gary McFadden announced that the operations were over, but that ICE "will continue to operate in Mecklenburg County as they always have". Activists also reported that a convoy of federal vehicles was spotted earlier heading south towards Atlanta.

According to Homeland Security, 370 undocumented people had been arrested during the 5 days of the operation. An article posted on their website displays photos of "The worst of the worst". Some of the horrifying crimes committed by these individuals against the American people include "petty theft" and "failure to appear in court".

As convincing as this facade might be, it was not for the sake of "law and order" that CBP were deployed to the city, nor was it for the purpose of protecting American jobs. One might wonder how the detainment of 370 undocumented workers could affect the labor market in a city with an undocumented population nearing 100,000.

The true purpose of the operation is revealed in the testimony of a worker interviewed after it was over: "They're waiting for us to go outside, so they can hunt us like a cat hunts a mouse". Undocumented workers are scared, afraid to leave their houses for fear of being snatched up and thrown into a detention center, but when economic necessity forces them to return to work, they will be all the more willing to take what they can get: Low pay, long hours, and whatever other abuses the whims of the bosses subject them to. Any instinct to fight back, to stand up to the bosses has likely been terrified out of them.

The short duration of Operation Charlotte's Web compared to similar deployments in other parts of the country might lead one to believe the resistance offered up by the city's leftist coalitions were successful. Maybe the agents felt guilty after hearing a sermon about how Jesus was an immigrant, or maybe lacking the mayor's support, they just didn't have the confidence to continue on with their kidnappings. The truth is that they left because their mission was completed, and they were simply moving on to terrify the residents of other cities.

The short duration of Operation Charlotte's Web compared to similar deployments in other parts of the country might lead one to believe the resistance offered up by the city's leftist coalitions were successful.

Maybe the agents felt guilty after hearing a sermon about how Jesus was an immigrant, or maybe lacking the mayor's support, they just didn't have the confidence to continue on with their kidnappings. The truth is that they left because their mission was completed, and they were simply moving on to terrify the residents of other cities.

We are eagerly awaiting and, in fact, are working towards the formation of the Class Union that, under the leadership of the International Communist Party, will finally be able to offer genuine resistance to these attacks on immigrant workers, and attacks on workers' standard of living in general.

On November 15th, an immigration enforcement operation began in Charlotte, North Carolina. Nicknamed "Operation Charlotte's Web", it was one of the many recent operations around the U.S., but the first to occur in the southeast, with up to 200 Customs and Border Patrol (CBP) agents deployed to the city, under the pretext of protecting the city from "violent criminal illegal aliens".

According to an article released by the Department of Homeland Security, Charlotte is a "Sanctuary City", where the local government has limited the cooperation between its local law enforcement and Federal immigration agents.

In 2006, Mecklenburg county, where Charlotte is located, initiated a 287(g) agreement, which allows local officers to be deputized in order to carry out certain immigration enforcement duties. This agreement was discontinued in 2018, and this combined with the fact of Charlotte's primarily democratic voter base is likely the reason why it was chosen for the sweep.

The effects of the operation were shown almost immediately: businesses that employed undocumented workers were forced to temporarily close down, as workers called out of work for fear of being detained. Schools reported that during (cont pg 2) the week of the operation, attendance was down 20%. The bourgeois media decried the pressure that the enforcement put on the city's petty bourgeois, priests and ministers called for Charlotte residents to "choose love over hate", and Latino youths took to the street to vent their frustrations with marches and chants.

On November 20th, Sheriff Gary McFadden announced that the operations were over, but that ICE "will continue to operate in Mecklenburg County as they always have". Activists also reported that a convoy of federal vehicles was spotted earlier heading south towards Atlanta.

According to Homeland Security, 370 undocumented people had been arrested during

putting no strike clauses in the contracts which effectively puts in legal writing the workers aren't allowed to go on strike during the duration of the contract which is typically between 3 and 4 years. All in favor of "keeping labor peace".

We as party militants must continue to agitate and organize the most militant workers open to the positions of class unionism. Forming class union caucuses and committees. Coalescing these workers into fighting bodies equipped with the understanding that we must build the class union. Pushing back against the strategies of the business union leaders in collaboration with the bosses and robbing them of the peace of mind they can pilfer our livelihoods. We must push back against these ideas of allowing managers into the unions or having any say in the activities of the union. We must go out on indefinite strikes and bring the bosses to their knees uniting workers on class demands of high wages and a reduced working day.

Trade Union Activity...

(continued from pg. 2)
(cont pg 3) tentative agreement during the 2023 UPS negotiations that would have mobilized 300,000 workers. Comrades participated in TM preparation meetings where we put forward our class unionist program and positions.

A protest action organized outside the convention drew 35-40 participants at its peak but proved largely ineffective at disrupting O'Brien, who entered through the front in an unmarked car with bodyguards. Comrades made themselves useful with logistics while distributing materials. When O'Brien gave his speech, several dissident TDU members walked out, with comrades there to greet them. Leafleting continued despite TDU calling police to stop both TM and comrades from distributing materials. In total, approximately 590 leaflets were distributed across the convention, plus 250 leaflets passed to a Chicago teamster who committed to distributing them at a UPS hub employing thousands of workers in that area.

The intervention produced several meaningful connections. Additional contacts were made with airport workers who expressed agitation about their conditions as the prolonged government shut downs further exacerbated poor working conditions and lack of pay. Teamsters Mobilize aligns closely with CSAN principles, and comrades are continuing to work with the contacts made in the caucus to advance joint work. Widespread sentiment within the convention, reflected alignment with O'Brien, in which TDU once again endorsed for president again, he will get re-elected and continue leveraging the union presidency possibly for future political positions such as the US labor secretary.

Party members continue work to establish a class-based union opposition in the United Food and Commercial (UFCW) union caucus, despite attempts by some Democratic Socialists of America (DSA) members to divert action toward electoralism. The nascent national caucus has grown to 31 workers in the communication channel, with the majority being worker-leaders within various locals nationally, already struggling for class-based demands.

The caucus is incorporating labor history study into meetings and reviewing by-laws and history of locals and the international, trying to piece together information for better understanding of the union and mapping out their direction. Members of the caucus are critical of their locals seeking connections with the Democratic party.

Comrades continue to intervene within the Southern Workers Assembly. At a recent "Workers School" event which a comrade was able to attend, there was a noticeable difference in tone from speeches given at the "No Kings Day" demonstrations, seemed to be more focused on drawing workers who might have been at the demonstration into the assemblies, rather than attending for the sake of the demonstration itself. While there's still much to struggle against in the SWA, it appears to be moving in a more positive direction.

At an SWA delegate meeting, comrades raised criticisms about the decision to join the "No Kings Day" protests that couldn't be refuted by promoters of the action. At the following Richmond Workers Assembly (RWA) meeting, these criticisms were brought up and the RWA coordinating committee unanimously decided not to endorse or join the "No Kings Day" protest despite the SWA's call to do so. Instead, RWA released a statement to members explaining why they saw these class collaborationist protests fed by the Democratic Party as dead ends.

A Party comrade attended a panel and award ceremony hosted by the Debs Foundation in Terre Haute, Indiana which awarded Bernie Sanders the grand honor. The comrade attended a panel titled "Class War and How We Fight Back" with Sara Nelson (International President of Association of Flight Attendants-CWA, AFL-CIO), Dr. Lisa Phillips (Professor of History at Indiana State University and Secretary of the Eugene V. Debs Foundation), and Mark Dimonstein (President of American Postal Workers Union, AFL-CIO). The panel was disappointing but predictable: criticisms of the administration for defunding and deregulating different industries and various "pro-labor" platitudes about higher wages, healthcare as a human right, and labor as defense against fascism. Sara Nelson mentioned "capitalism/capitalists" only to reinforce that some are bad or greedy, with nothing said about the relationship between labor and capital in a serious sense. On the question of how to fight back, nothing substantive was offered beyond general statements of acting in solidarity. Nothing someone could take back to their workplace or personal life.

A Party member intervened with a specially made leaflet at a University picket demonstration in Albuquerque. He made some contacts and is working with possible contacts inside the AFT Teacher's Union, the Albuquerque Public Schools Union, to continue struggles.

A comrade attended a Tech Workers Coalition meeting in Portland, meeting unorganized and union workers. Permission was given to leave CSAN pamphlets there which were well received. Generally everyone was tired of layoffs and tech being used for war and "evil" generally. The comrade met with a worker currently on strike and joined their Signal chat and will try to attend some weekend meetings to continue making inroads with that group of workers.

Massive cuts from the federal government have led to municipal districts across the country to cut budgets, reduce staffing and are forcing low to no wage increases. The organization of state and district budgets creates static funding pools that can only be altered through state and federal level legislative interventions in the capitalist state. The boss-linked leadership National Education Association (NEA) which represents teachers across the country, have done absolutely nothing to organize the union to respond in any meaningful way against the cuts and attacks. Thus union locals find themselves scrambling to get scraps and to avoid massive reductions in quality of life while leadership presents working out government district level budget short falls, as a common interest with workers who must shoulder the burden in the interest of preserving job cuts via accepting real wage cuts.

The mass movement against genocide and war in Gaza, from late August to early October, accelerated the process that our party has predicted and advocated since the late 1970s: the decline of collaborationist and regime-friendly trade unionism and the rebirth of the class-based trade union movement outside and against it.

The CGIL confirmed its nature as a regime trade union by refusing to bring the struggle against war to the trade union level, something that grassroots trade unionism, in particular the USB, wanted and was able to do.

The CGIL leadership reacted disproportionately to the USB's success in channelling the interclassist movement against the war into a general strike, elevating it to the level of a working-class struggle.

First, it tried to sabotage the grassroots' unionism general strike on Monday, September 22, by calling a competing strike for Friday, September 19, one working day earlier! Faced with the dismay and anger of a significant portion of its members – with the epicenter among school workers, who struck in large numbers on September 22 with the grassroots unions – the CGIL national leadership rushed to take cover and, on a proposal launched in Genoa by the local leaders of USB and CGIL, agreed to call a unified general strike with all the grassroots unions for October 3. A press conference was even held in the Chamber of Deputies in the presence of the leaders of USB and CGIL.

Overall, the strike on October 3 was successful considering that it was a political strike against the war, at a time of serious political and trade union backwardness among the working class. It had good participation in some sectors, such as in education, the INPS (National Social Security Institute), and maritime or naval logistics, but low participation in the manufacturing and the more strictly defined blue-collar sectors. Huge crowds participated in the marches, including unorganized workers and even non-workers, led mostly by the working-class trade unions.

It is important to repeat and note some striking facts of the general strikes of September 22 and October 3:

1) For the first time since the Second World War, two general strikes, both successful, took place within a span of only 11 days; this proved that it is not at all impossible to conduct a general strike lasting longer than the standard 24 hours, if there are trade unions willing to call on workers to do so;

2) For the first time, Italy's largest regime-aligned trade union found itself having to follow the initiative of the grassroots unions;

3) It was grassroots trade unionism, not regime-aligned trade unionism, not the CISL and UIL, obviously, but not even the CGIL, that knew how and wanted to interact with, support, strengthen, and direct the anti-war movement through the strike;

4) On October 3, a general strike was held for the first time outside the anti-strike laws (146/19990 and 83/2000), supported by CGIL, CISL, and UIL and voted by PCI and DS), demonstrating once again that if the trade unions are willing, those laws can be opposed by the strength of struggle;

5) The convergence of the various trade unions in the same strike, similar to what occurred in France in recent years, has a multiplier effect on the participation of the strike and subsequently its strength. As the strike gains strength, the mass of workers tend to move towards more combative methods, demands, and trade unionism, i.e., it strengthens class-based unionism and weakens collaborationist and regime-friendly unionism.

After October 3:

Class-based unionism pushes for unity of action held back and restrained by the opportunism of the regime unions and its leaders and factions.

After the mobilization of October 3rd and 4th peaked, the movement against the war in Gaza ebbed.

The USB leadership correctly set out to channel, as far as possible, the energies that had been expressed as antiwar sentiment more strictly into the trade union struggle, continuing to highlight the war issue within unions, first and foremost by promoting action against the new budget law, which is geared towards rearmament, a position maintained and agitated for since the beginning of the war in Ukraine behind the slogan:

"Lower your weapons, raise your wages!"

But this correct trade union line and aim is being hampered by the USB leadership's desire, identical to that of the CGIL, to backtrack on the October 3 united strike, relegating it as an exceptional case, not to be repeated.

After the government presented the budget law on October 17, on the 21st the CUB – initially alone – notified the Guarantee Commission for a general strike proclamation on November 28. But two days later, on October 23, the CUB wrote a letter, made public, to all the grassroots unions including the CGIL, clarifying that it had 'set' the date in order not to incur the restrictions imposed by the anti-strike laws and to make the day of strike action available to all unions, calling for the widest possible union convergence. It also pointed out the need for a follow up of the September 22

and October 3 strikes, as well as noting, now more than ever, that a unified response was of the utmost importance in view of the initiation of sanctioning procedures by the Guarantee Commission against the unions that had promoted the October 3 strike outside the limits of the anti-strike laws.

On the same day, October 23, the USB National Executive took the decision to strike on November 28, without, however, making any proposal for unified action with the CGIL. This decision was then validated at the national assembly of USB delegates on November 1, held in Rome at the Teatro Italia, where several USB leaders spoke out against the possibility of a new joint strike with the CGIL.

This was despite the initiative not only of the CUB but also of the Cobas Confederation, which on October 29 had published a statement with a very clear title: "Let's do as we did on October 3! Cobas appeals to CGIL and grassroots unions for a joint strike on November 28 against the Finance Bill."

On the same day, October 29, an internal USB appeal was also published – "For a winning union tactic" – which argued the importance of proposing a united strike to the CGIL leadership as a way to gain more influence over the combative part of the CGIL base – those who had appreciated the united strike or who had struck with the grassroots unions on September 22 – presenting it in opposition to CGIL leadership, even if, as expected, it rejected the proposal. Our comrades collaborated in the drafting of the appeal, which we reproduce below, as well as in its dissemination at the assembly at the Teatro Italia.

The correctness of this union tactic was confirmed by the publication of a similar appeal on October 31, on the initiative of CGIL activists, entitled "Appeal for a united strike by all unions," which gathered hundreds of signatures from that union and dozens from the grassroots unions.

On November 6th, in support of a new strike along the lines of the one conducted on October 3rd, the GKN factory collective in Florence, most of whose workers are members of the CGIL, took a stand, writing: "Declaration on the need for a new October 3 and on the upcoming general strike on November 28."

But on the same day, during the meeting in Florence, the CGIL's National General Assembly confirmed its decision – which had already been circulating since the end of October – to call a general strike for December 12.

The obvious observation that this was a coordinated delay of the strike, given that the budget law approval process would be near its end by the time of the mobilization making it irrelevant: the important thing was not to strike with the grassroots unions!

It should also be noted that last year the situation was reversed: it was the USB leadership that called a general strike – on its own – for December 12, so as not to strike with the CGIL on November 29, as well as with all the other grassroots unions that had previously set that day for a general strike.

It should also be noted that last year only a small group of grassroots unions – Confederazione Cobas, Adil Cobas, and Sial Cobas – explicitly expressed their desire to "do as in France," that is, to strike together with the CGIL, while the CUB limited itself to setting a date on which it knew it would most likely converge, due to the limits imposed by anti-strike laws, but without explicitly declaring that it aspired for this result. This year, however, the CUB leadership had explicitly taken this approach, another positive effect of the strikes on September 22 and October 3.

At the CGIL general assembly on November 6, three members of the minority group called "Le Radici del sindacato" (The Roots of the Union) presented an alternative agenda that concluded by stating: "The CGIL General Assembly considers it essential to call a general strike for next Friday, November 28, 2025." This was a clear position, but one that was not shared even within that minority group, given that six of its delegates voted for the majority document, limiting themselves to presenting a contribution entitled "Uniting the struggles for peace, democracy, and social justice" which, despite its title, was careful not to address the issue of a united strike: uniting the struggles but without saying to strike together! This internal division within the "Le Radici del Sindacato" area reflects the cold fusion that took place at the 19th CGIL congress between the opposition area "Riconquistiamo tutto" (Let's Take Back Everything) and the more moderate "Democrazia e Lavoro" (Democracy and Work), which at the previous congress had not presented an alternative document to that of the majority.

The other minority group, called "Le Giornate di Marzo" (The Days of March), with its sole representative at the General Assembly, not to be outdone in opportunism with the former members of "Democrazia e Lavoro," presented a voting statement in which it specified that it would abstain on the issue of a general strike, whether united or separate, because "the issue... is not the date... A ritual strike added to another ritual strike called by other trade unions does not change the substance". As if, in order to carry out a 'non-ritual' strike, it was not useful, indeed essential, for the trade unions to that of the majority.

The other minority group, called "Le Giornate di Marzo" (The Days of March), with its sole representative at the General Assembly, not to be outdone in opportunism with the former members of "Democrazia e Lavoro," presented a voting statement in which it specified that it would abstain on the issue of a general strike, whether united or separate, because "the issue... is not the date... A ritual strike added to another ritual strike called by other trade unions does not change the substance". As if, in order to carry out a 'non-ritual' strike, it was not useful, indeed essential, for the trade unions to that of the majority.

The CGIL did not renew contracts in the public sector for health, education and fire service sectors within the central and local governments. In all these cases, it found itself alone within the framework of collaborationist trade unionism, i.e., with respect to CISL and UIL, and in the company of USB, which in the central government and fire department sectors is admitted to negotiations because it exceeds the minimum threshold established by law to be considered

that of the majority.

The Narrows were largely liquidated in the 20s and "popular front" communism

and Stalinism took over, closely following what was happening world wide in the Comintern but this did not stop workers

from fighting for their own survival, although now without anything resembling a class party. The 1936 Great Tobacco Strike mobilized 30,000 workers who, supported by underground logistical networks and secret presses of the now degraded communist party, successfully paralyzed the nation's primary export industry to demand better pay and labor conditions despite intense state surveillance. In May 1953, thousands of women workers at the Ivan Karadjov warehouse in Plovdiv seized the facility to demand job security and better conditions, forcing a retreat of the initial militia response with stones until the state opened fire, killing at least three strikers. In the 1980s, workers in Ruse initiated "silent strikes" by reporting to factories while refusing to operate machinery to protest chronic chlorine poisoning from a Romanian chemical plant across the Danube, a movement that evolved into the first mass street demonstrations in stalinist Bulgaria where thousands, including mothers with strollers, wore gas masks to demand environmental safety and government accountability.

The Narrows Socialists spearheaded the 1904 Pernik miners' strike, where workers fought a month-long battle against 12-hour shifts and harsh discipline, successfully securing higher wages and the right to form the General Workers' Trade Union despite military intervention. The Narrows were in-

The International Communist Party

In Italy: Effects and Lessons of the Strikes for Gaza

converge, as well as to do so in good time!

Still on the subject of hypocritical 'verbal' tributes to the unity of the workers' struggle, in order to better deny it in practice, Sinistra Sindacale, the magazine of the left-wing of the CGIL trade union, called Lavoro e Società, which supports the majority, was quick to clarify in its October 27 issue in a front-page article entitled "Let's work for unity": "Often unique gatherings [those of October 3; ed.], even among trade unionists who are profoundly different from each other, have not ushered in a new era of trade union unity between the CGIL and grassroots trade unionism. Those who, even within our own ranks, raise the specter of convergence between such different trade union histories have not seen what happened in those days in important parts of Italian society (and beyond), around an issue that is as dramatic as it is still unresolved."

Opposition to the CGIL's unity of action with the grassroots unions was also expressed at the General Assembly of Filt Liguria on November 3 by the regional secretary of Filt CGIL Liguria, from the PD secretary's faction, the national organizational secretary of Filt CGIL, the secretary of Filt CGIL La Spezia, and the most 'left-wing' party in parliament, Alleanza Verdi Sinistra (AVS). The national organizational secretary of Filt CGIL spoke out not only against new joint actions with grassroots unions but also against the decision of October 3, thereby criticizing both the leadership of the CGIL Labor Chamber in Genoa, which had proposed the joint general strike, together with the local leadership of USB, and the national confederal leadership of CGIL.

This criticism marks the start of maneuvering for the next CGIL congress, the twentieth, to be held next year. Criticism of the work of the current confederal secretariat, headed by Landini, has been leveled not only by the leadership of the Filt, but also by that of the Flai (Agricordustry), the Slc (Communications), the Spi (Pensioners) and the confederal secretariat of Emilia Romagna.

The Wrong Approach to Separate Strikes

On November 11, an article written by former USB leader Pierpaolo Leonardi was published by that grassroots union, clearly explaining the wrong approach taken by that leadership. It states that "USB has called a general strike... on the basis of... a clear, detailed and radical platform of struggle... The difference [with the CGIL; ed.] lies not in the date but in the platform... If the platforms are so different that they do not allow for convergence on the same day, it is natural that this should not happen. The extra-ordinary unity of October 3 was only possible because the drama of the ongoing genocide against the Palestinian people had imposed a political strike, outside the usual patterns and rules."

This reiterated what had already been expressed by CGIL leaders about the united strike on October 3: an exceptional case and, above all, one not to be repeated! The thesis – different platforms, separate strikes – clearly expresses the idealistic, anti-materialist approach of USB leaders to the struggle of the working class. According to this approach, the radicalization of workers would take place through a process in which "consciousness" comes first: the worker first understands, chooses the most radical platform, and then organizes and strikes with the union that supports it.

The process in real social life is different. Even if workers consider a platform to be fairer, they will not embrace it if they feel they are not strong enough, and the same applies to the union with which they organize: if they do not feel there is sufficient strength, most will pragmatically rely on collaborationist unions.

The convergence of the grassroots unions with the CGIL in the strike does not have the effect of lending credibility to that regime union amongst workers, but rather of multiplying the number of participants in the strike, and thus its strength. In a strong strike – not one involving a small minority, such as those too often organized by the USB leadership such as the one on December 12 last year – the mass of workers tend to embrace the most radical demands and combative trade unions.

The anti-materialist approach of the USB leadership harms USB itself first and foremost, and class-based trade unionism in general, slowing down its development, despite the fact that the events produced by the global economic crisis of capitalism are moving in that direction.

The correct approach of trade union and worker unity of action is made even more evident by the relations between the major regime unions – CGIL, CISL, and UIL – and therefore within the collaborationist trade union unity, in the ongoing attack on workers' conditions.

The CGIL did not renew contracts in the public sector for health, education and fire service sectors within the central and local governments. In all these cases, it found itself alone within the framework of collaborationist trade unionism, i.e., with respect to CISL and UIL, and in the company of USB, which in the central government and fire department sectors is admitted to negotiations because it exceeds the minimum threshold established by law to be considered

that of the majority.

The Narrows were largely liquidated in the 20s and "popular front" communism

and Stalinism took over, closely following what was happening world wide in the Comintern but this did not stop workers

from fighting for their own survival, although now without anything resembling a class party. The 1936 Great Tobacco Strike mobilized 30,000 workers who, supported by underground logistical networks and secret presses of the now degraded communist party, successfully paralyzed the nation's primary export industry to demand better pay and labor conditions despite intense state surveillance. In May 1953, thousands of women workers at the Ivan Karadjov warehouse in Plovdiv seized the facility to demand job security and better conditions, forcing a retreat of the initial militia response with stones until the state opened fire, killing at least three strikers. In the 1980s, workers in Ruse initiated "silent strikes" by reporting to factories while refusing to operate machinery to protest chronic chlorine poisoning from a Romanian chemical plant across the Danube, a movement that evolved into the first mass street demonstrations in stalinist Bulgaria where thousands, including mothers with strollers, wore gas masks to demand environmental safety and government accountability.

since the great economic crisis of the 90s. The fact that the bourgeois and the remaining middle classes are doing comparatively much better has escalated worker's discontent.

Table 1: Real wages/income distribution relative to total population over time

Year	Population	Bottom 5%	Middle 75%	Top 10%
1989	8.8	1,399	2,249	3,292
1996	8.3	211	370	759
2003	7.8	443	924	1,971
2015	7.2	736	1,917	4,370
2023	6.4	1,133	2,812	8,102
2024	6.4	1,260	3,193	9,008

Note: Absolute wage/income is fixed to 2023 BGN and is estimated and calculated based on data on averages and distributions of real wages from "Real Wage Base (CSI)" and "World Inequality Database (WID) - Bulgaria". The relation to wages is much closer at the bottom and middle than at the top 10%, where we see income from capital included, to the extent it's reported.

Note: Data on population from National Population Data (NSI)

As of 2023, Bulgaria is still recognized as the poorest nation in the EU based on GDP per capita. This is reflected in the poverty rate, with approximately 22% of Bulgarian citizens living below the poverty line, earning €257 or less per month. In 2024, 30.3% of the population was at risk of social exclusion, the highest percentage in the EU, which includes those facing income poverty, severe material deprivation, or low employment rates. While economic growth between 2015 and 2020 improved living standards compared to the rough transition years of the 1990s and reduced the worst forms of poverty to 4.5%, income inequality kept increasing, and the at-risk-of-poverty rate reached 22.1% in 2020. In Q2 2025, the nationwide house price index in Bulgaria increased by 15.51%.

Events Since 2020 That Led to the Current Strike and Protest Wave

While much smaller in comparison to the 1990s hyperinflation, the current inflationary surge stemmed from energy dependency and global supply shocks, beginning with a natural gas price increase in 2021. After Russia's Gazprom ceased direct gas exports in April 2022, Bulgaria was compelled to procure gas through intermediaries at inflated prices. This, along with the EU's 2023 oil embargo, led to household gas prices rising by 144% and district heating by 40%. Simultaneously, a capitalist housing bubble emerged as local elites invested savings in real estate to avoid currency devaluation, causing property prices in cities like Sofia and Varna to nearly double by late 2025. While the international and local bourgeoisie are getting even richer, workers face a 15.3% peak in annual inflation by late 2025, the proletariat still experienced a decline in real purchasing power due to food cost surges of 24.9% and massive utility hikes, leading to workers once again being unable to pay their heating bills. In January 2025, the national minimum wage was raised to BGN 1,077, but 400,000 people still struggle to survive on this amount.

In the background of all this economic turmoil and struggle between the different classes in Bulgaria the bourgeois government has been dissolved and regrouped seven times now in the last 4 years, which has also meant the organizing of seven costly elections in the same time. On January 16, 2025, a minority coalition

of GERB, BSP, and ITN took power with shadow support from the much hated by the students and workers Delyan Peevski. By the time they raised the proposal for the very unpopular budget, massive protests were already taking over the streets. At the same time refinery workers at Lukoil Nefthim in Burgas, organized under the regime union Podkrepa, threatened a total shutdown to demand a 30% wage increase and legal protections against asset stripping as the state moved toward nationalization. While the Zhelyazkov government granted a 24 month job guarantee and a bonus, they rejected the pay hike and domestic price caps, leaving workers to face inflation with nothing but a job guarantee while the refinery owners hid \$2.4 billion in profits abroad. Simultaneously, railway and energy workers bypassed official leadership to form independent base union cells, utilizing work to rule tactics and safety reporting to prioritize freight and coal deliveries. These autonomous cells successfully organized Trakia Highway blockades and sustained their struggle through decentralized donations after the state froze their bank accounts to stop their resistance against infrastructure privatization.

At the same time, the bourgeois "grain barons" we mentioned earlier, under cartel National Grain Producers Association, launched an employer strike using industrial machinery for \$5 blockades to secure €76 million in EU aid for the owning class of grain barons. They negotiated with the regime unions for pay increases to machine operator workers in the grain production industry and paid stipends for unorganized workers to man the blockades and strike for the employers, in order to extract concessions and protections for their own dying industry in the face of very cheap Ukrainian grain being flooded in by western and Ukrainian capital. While these wealthy landholders protected their 10% flat tax and subsidies, the machine workers got a 20% wage increase against a 39.5% cumulative inflation rate.

In 2026, Bulgaria's bourgeois remains entrenched despite rotating cabinets, deepening the nation's interdependence with the EU/EU imperialist bloc. While arms manufacturers reap record profits from the Ukrainian conflict, the working class remains fragmented and leaderless and misled by regime unions, to the low extent to which it is even organized.

What Needs to be Done

Though the instinct for independent unionism and militant struggle persists, these spontaneous strikes and street protests lack a revolutionary party to forge them into a conscious political force. A real living revolutionary working class is one that is organized under class-unions that reject electoral battles to promote an indefinite general strike without minimum services and that is tightly linked to its party, whose message it transmits to the class through its words and deeds. Bulgarian workers can get inspiration from their own local history and from the history of the international working class as a whole and while there is a long road ahead, we encourage all Bulgarians who wish to fight for communism to get in touch with us and organize!

For the Class Union! For The Indefinite Strike! Against all Wars that Pit Worker Against Worker! For Communism!

The Şik Makas Struggle and Class Unions in Turkey

In December 2025, workers at the Şik Makas textile factory in Turkey called on workers' organizations abroad to participate in a week of international solidarity after months of unpaid wages, mass layoffs without compensation, and sustained pressure to accept employer-aligned union representation. Their struggle developed through prolonged resistance to stolen wages, repression by the bourgeois state, and attempts by established unions to shut down independent organizing. Rejecting state mediation and corporatist unionism, the workers organized from below through collective assemblies, walkouts, and pickets, maintaining direct control over their struggle and coordinating with independent class-struggle unions such as BİRTEK-SEN (United Textile, Weaving and Knitting Workers' Union of Turkey).

Because the conflict was embedded in global garment supply chains, workers abroad responded by organizing coordinated informational pickets outside distributors connected to the Şik Makas textile factory beyond Turkey's borders in an effort to demonstrate solidarity with the struggle of Turkish workers as a show of proletarian internationalism, even if such tactics in of themselves can not directly mass greater leverage against the employer, they can raise workers spirits and will to struggle.

The International Week of Solidarity with Şik Makas workers (December 13–21, 2025) marked a small moment of solidarity between organized class unionist forces, as militants of the International Communist Party, working within the Class Struggle Action Network, coordinated solidarity actions alongside BİRTEK-SEN. These efforts led to actions in four Turkish cities, four U.S. cities, and one Canadian city, raised 21,517.54 Turkish lira for the strike, established links with Italian textile workers in SUDD COBBAS, and demonstrated in practice the necessity of international working-class action beyond national and corporatist limits.

Turkish State Control and Worker Rebellion

The history of Turkish unionism demonstrates a consistent pattern of state domination over working-class organizations, interrupted periodically by explosive rank-and-file rebellions that break through legal constraints. In the midst of a deepening economic and social crisis, contradiction between the working class' need for genuine defensive organizations clash with the capitalist state's imperative to contain and neutralize working-class militancy.

The 1960s and 1970s saw remarkable rank-and-file workers' rebellions, most notably the June 15–16 revolt in 1970 involving hundreds of thousands of workers around Istanbul fighting against the capitalist state's attempts to subordinate the union movement to its power by outlawing the Confederation of Revolutionary Workers' Unions (DİSK), the main confederation of base unions in Turkey at the time and consolidating the organized workers into the already existing confederation of regime unions, the Confederation of Turkish Workers' Unions (Türk-İş).

Following the 1980 military coup when DİSK was finally outlawed, the Turkish state restructured labor relations to eliminate any remnants of independent working-class organization. The subsequent legal framework created a system where officially recognized unions function as appendages of capitalist state control, their leadership integrated into mechanisms of social pacification. Strikes were severely restricted, collective bargaining circumscribed by state arbitration, and union recognition made contingent on demonstrating "peaceful" relations with employers and state authorities.

This collaborationist structure resembles what occurred in Italy under fascism and what exists in various forms throughout

the capitalist world, such as in the US with the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA). Following the 1980 coup in Turkey, Türk-İş has continued to be the largest labor confederation, operating firmly within this framework of class collaboration, its leadership maintaining close ties to both capitalist state apparatus and employer associations. As for DİSK, reformed in 1992, while still a confederation of base unions including several that are quite combative, it translates its name as "Progressive" rather than "Revolutionary" nowadays and often limits itself to symbolic actions.

When workers have attempted to use official union structures to defend their interests, they invariably find themselves betrayed. The Şik Makas textile workers who were members of Öz İplik-İş, the regime-controlled textile union belonging to Confederation of Real Turkish Unions (Hak-İş) which directly aligns with the ruling party and Islamist bourgeois politics. For roughly a year Şik Makas workers were consistently paid late. When workers finally said "enough" and stopped work to demand their unpaid wages, they were met with threats and insults from the employer and Öz İplik-İş, who sided with management over workers.

When workers resigned from this collaborationist union and joined the combative union BİRTEK-SEN, Öz İplik-İş handed over their names to the employer. What followed was predictable: mass terminations, with more than a thousand workers fired.

Repressive legal architecture cannot eliminate the antagonisms between capital and labor. When exploitation intensifies beyond tolerable limits, when wages disappear entirely, when workers face destitution, the class struggle erupts regardless of legal prohibitions.

BİRTEK-SEN: A Class Union in Formation

In 2020 textile worker uprisings spread across 35 factories in southeastern Turkey. Workers organized strikes, workplace occupations, and confrontations with police outside official union structures. Workers won concrete victories at facilities including Artemis, Şireci, and Marbit despite, or rather, because of their willingness to break through legal constraints.

BİRTEK-SEN emerged in 2022 from these struggles, formed by union militants who recognized that genuine working-class defense requires organization independent from both state control and employer collaboration. The union's formation is precisely the process true communists have long identified that when material conditions deteriorate sufficiently and existing organizations prove themselves enemies of workers' interests, workers will create new structures capable of actual struggle.

Since its formation, BİRTEK-SEN led the Özak Textile struggle in Urfa in November 2024 and the strike wave at the Baspınar Organized Industrial Zone in Antep in February 2025, (reported in TCP no. 56 and TİCP no. 63). In these struggles the union sharpened the piercing strength of the workers by generalizing the strikes across factories rather than leaving workers isolated or relying on pressuring the companies with lawsuits. During the Baspınar strike wave, capital's thugs cracked down on workers, arresting and imprisoning chairman Mehmet Türkmen on charges of "violating freedom of labour" and "incitement to commit a crime" for supporting the textile worker struggles. Still, both the Özak struggle and the Baspınar strike wave pressed forward and won on major demands.

Additionally, BİRTEK-SEN has made concentrated efforts to organize Syrian immigrant workers facing super-exploitation in Turkish textile factories regardless of their documentation outside of their official membership, and has mobilized to support struggles across sectors such

(cont p 5)

The International Communist Party

Mexico: The November 15th Protests and the Clash Between Factions of the Bourgeoisie Impose a Veil to Hide the Class Struggle

The massive demonstrations that took place in Mexico on November 15th of last year, presented by most media outlets and social networks as a spontaneous reaction of "Generation Z" and "the citizenry" against the corruption and violence of the current government mask a much deeper political dynamic: the acute clash between different sectors of the Mexican bourgeoisie and the parties and movements that represent them, over control of the state apparatus and the distribution of power. We communists distance ourselves from the dominant narrative in our analysis of these situations, focusing instead on the conflict from the perspective of class struggle, not only lens capable of fully interpreting political crises in a capitalist society.

Originally organized by a movement called Generation Z Mexico, which called for protests and marches across the country in response to growing economic insecurity, state corruption, and the assassination of Uruapan Mayor Carlos Manzo. Despite initial claims that it was exclusively a youth movement, it became clear that many older generations also mobilized, including right-wing conservative factions of the bourgeoisie such as the PRI, the PAN, and even elements of the Sinarquista movement (which had Nazi leanings). The bourgeois press has provided only a superficial understanding of the causes that triggered these events and the mass mobilization that brought different strata of class society into the fray, resulting in 140 injuries (100 of whom were police officers) and numerous arrests.

This interclass mass explosion is itself a consequence of the continuous deterioration of economic conditions for both workers and the petty bourgeoisie facing proletarianization. All of this is compounded by the problem of drug trafficking (a business that profits the bourgeoisie and finances all the groups that alternately control the government), which is nothing more than a symptom of capitalist production in a country subservient to US imperialism and shrouded in a mystifying fog by the bourgeoisie establishment.

The current political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The rejection of the security policy ("Hugs, not bullets") and the current government's handling of violence and corruption are the rhetorical fuel used by this displaced bourgeoisie to frame their bourgeois democratic opposition. The assassination of a mayor who called for a "tough on crime" approach was used as a catalyst for outrage, opportunistically exploited to mobilize a segment of the population. However, both the ruling and opposition factions, despite their discursive differences, are staunch defenders of capitalism and guarantors of the business interests of national and transnational corporations, basing their accumulation on the exploitation of wage labor in Mexico.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The rejection of the security policy ("Hugs, not bullets") and the current government's handling of violence and corruption are the rhetorical fuel used by this displaced bourgeoisie to frame their bourgeois democratic opposition. The assassination of a mayor who called for a "tough on crime" approach was used as a catalyst for outrage, opportunistically exploited to mobilize a segment of the population. However, both the ruling and opposition factions, despite their discursive differences, are staunch defenders of capitalism and guarantors of the business interests of national and transnational corporations, basing their accumulation on the exploitation of wage labor in Mexico.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties that are now in opposition (PAN, PRI, PRD, Movimiento Ciudadano) and their associated business elites, was displaced from direct control of the State, but retains immense economic power.

The national political context in Mexico, with the Morena party in government, is characterized by the rise to power of a faction of the bourgeoisie that espouses a nationalist, sovereignist, and "leftist" discourse (summarized in the so-called Fourth Transformation or 4T), gaining support among voters because of corruption and the social effects of the neoliberal policies of previous governments (PRI, PAN). The traditional bourgeois establishment, represented by the parties

Latin American immigration), and at this moment, the best course for Latin American capitalism is to don the mask of left-wing populism in order to harness the energies of the proletariat for the benefit of capital. MORENA represents the best state of affairs for capital at this time, even if it means that a section of the bourgeoisie opposes them. Furthermore, while it might be beneficial to certain sectors of capital that would profit from the mining and oil industries, it would come at the cost of potential social instability in a country crucial to the production of intermediate goods that supply US industries, particularly the automotive sector. In other words, US finance capital already has Mexico under its thumb. Recent US threats to Latin American countries, including Colombia and Mexico, following the attack on Venezuela, serve to intimidate the Latin American bourgeoisie into aligning themselves with US imperialism against China. Moreover, it cannot be denied that in the future, the US will use the pretext of fighting drug trafficking to assist the Mexican bourgeoisie against the Mexican proletariat if it is unable to do so on its own.

These street protests and the dominant media campaign created a smokescreen to once again confuse the workers, who have historically been kept from understanding their true demands and their true enemies. The real social problems that plague the Mexican working class, subjected to high rates of exploitation of wage labor that swell the coffers of large capitalist corporations, remain invisible this time, hidden behind demands against corruption and criminal violence (which, incidentally, have their origins in capitalism) and behind the multi-class figures of "the people," "citizens," or "Generation Z."

Even without realizing it, the masses took to the streets fueled by the pent-up rage of decades of low wages and unemployment, by unmet needs that their salaries couldn't cover, while a small elite of business owners, politicians, and mobsters lived in abundance, luxury, and impunity. And it was this discontentment of the exploited working class—not of "the people," "citizens," "Generation Z," or "influencers"—that various politicians seized upon to instigate mobilizations, using slogans that masked the underlying problems of Mexican workers.

The Evolution of the Current Situation

The situation is trending toward increasing polarization in the Mexican political landscape. The clash between the elites intensifies as elections approach. Demonstrations like those of November 15th will be repeated, serving as a barometer of the state of the bourgeois dispute and as a mechanism of pressure and destabilization. It is a chess game where the pieces are the working class and those segments of the population whose living conditions have deteriorated, mobilized under slogans that reflect the economic and political interests of their true promoters: the capitalists and their connections to imperialism. The main factor to watch is whether the working class manages to overcome its disorganization, political confusion, and subordination to the bourgeois factions that are driving the current political polarization.

The workers who attended these demonstrations responded with their class hatred against the existing conditions, despite Sheinbaum's calls for peace. Once again, it became clear that the bourgeois state offers no meaningful solutions to their economic and social problems, despite the leadership of the left-wing populism of the MORENA 4T movement. Slogans like "PRI, PAN, MORENA, it's all the same shit" expressed this sentiment. However, lacking an independent workers' movement and its international communist party, the mobilization remained open to the interclass interests of the bourgeoisie and detached from its own class interests. Like other spontaneous demonstrations in the past, this one failed to strengthen the workers' movement. In response to these events, the Sheinbaum administration arrested suspects associated with the murder of Mayor Carlos Manzo as a way to appease the masses. She has offered scapegoats to quell discontent without actually putting pressure on the drug cartels, but, more importantly, without addressing the clamor hidden by the media and social networks: the need for a significant increase in wages and stable jobs for

The Sık Makas Struggle and (continued from pg. 4)

as the native and immigrant foundry workers' strike in Gaziantep in January 2023 (reported in TCP no 51).

BİRTEK-SEN has consistently supported the most combative worker actions. When over 1,000 Sık Makas workers were fired for leaving Öz İplik-İş and joining BİRTEK-SEN, the union organized workplace occupations and reached out internationally for solidarity rather than retreating to legalistic appeals. Now, Öz İplik-İş is labeling the union as a terrorist organization.

BİRTEK-SEN has not yet achieved the full program of class unionism, but its emergence marks the maturation of genuine working-class defense organizations born of the increasingly worse material conditions of capitalist exploitation.

The Sık Makas Struggle

At the Sık Makas factory in Tokat, producing clothing for Zara, H&M, Mango, Levi's, and other international brands, over 2,200 textile workers have been kept at wages below Turkey's official poverty line. Starting in August 2024, hundreds stopped receiving wages entirely. Workers were forced to resign or were dismissed without severance, facing evictions, debt, children pulled from school, and severe psychological distress while global fashion corporations continued profiting from the factory's production.

When workers stopped work over unpaid wages in October, Öz İplik-İş immediately sided with management, pressured workers back to work, and helped justify mass firing by spreading false accusations of violence. Hundreds of workers subsequently left the regime union and joined BİRTEK-SEN, recognizing that their official union functioned as an instrument of their exploitation rather than their defense.

The Sık Makas workers' struggle encapsulates the broader crisis facing the working class globally with the intensification of exploitation within global supply chains, collaborationist unions betraying workers at every turn, and the necessity of organizing outside official structures to wage genuine struggle. Like their class brothers and sisters in many other countries outside the West, Sık Makas workers produce products sold in stores throughout North America and Europe, their exploitation being directly connected to the profits extracted from retail workers in those regions—all serving the same multinational corporations' accumulation drive.

International Coordination

Upon receiving BİRTEK-SEN's call, ICP militants within CSAN organized coordinated responses. Actions in Turkey including Istanbul, Ankara, Izmir, Tokat, and in the US in Oregon, Illinois, North Carolina, and New Mexico as well as in Canada.

The working class confronts the same enemies across borders. When Zara and H&M exploit Turkish textile workers

the vast mass of unemployed and those working in the informal sector. However, these stratagems can only appease the masses for a time, as many workers are growing restless about the worsening economic situation while global capitalism hurtles toward its next crisis.

In a resumption of the class struggle in Mexico, with a multiplication, coordination, and integration of mobilizations and strikes, the labor movement must form a broad and participatory United Class-Based Trade Union Front, with a local, regional, and national presence, integrating formal and informal workers, both employed and unemployed, from the public and private sectors, and workers of all nationalities and genders, promoting unity of action and raising the following as its main demands:

A significant and general increase in wages and pensions. It is not enough for the increase to exceed the inflation rate; it must be an amount that allows working families to meet all their needs.

Payment of full wages to unemployed workers.

Reduction of working hours and retirement age. In education: reduction of the number of students per teacher and per classroom. In healthcare: reduction of the number of patients per nurse and per ward. All these measures would free up jobs.

Against overtime work.

All should have hygienic and safe working conditions

For services that free women from the constraints of the family economy.

None of these will be definitive solutions, and the working class must constantly fight for these and other demands, until it takes its struggles to a higher level, to the level of revolutionary struggle, against the bourgeoisie and capitalism, for the Dictatorship of the Proletariat and Communism.

Debunking "Generation Z" and Reaffirming the Relevance of the Class Struggle

The label "Generation Z" has become a media and sociological catchphrase used globally to explain social uprisings in different parts of the world (Chile, Hong Kong, Lebanon, etc.). This generational explanation is a way of obscuring the true cause of these social and political crises. By reducing the protests to an age-related or cultural phenomenon, the existence of material contradictions is denied, and the historical engine of social change—the class struggle—is obscured. And in capitalism, this class struggle is summarized as the confrontation between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat.

In Mexico, as in the rest of the world, political crises and mass demonstrations are expressions of irresolvable contradictions within the capitalist system. Even when the proletariat does not appear on the scene with a clearly defined class profile, and its actions are dominated by political confusion, disorganization, division, and subordination to multi-class, petty-bourgeois, or bourgeois-democratic movements, the fundamental interpretation should not be distorted.

All political crises that arise in capitalist society, without exception, must be interpreted from the perspective of class struggle: the conflict between the bourgeoisie (owners of the means of production) and the proletariat (those who sell their labor power), or, more clearly, the conflict between the defenders of preserving capitalism (which includes opportunists who speak of democratic socialism, the Fourth Transformation, 21st-Century Socialism, etc.) and the defenders of communist social transformation, the seizure of power, and the establishment of the Dictatorship of the Proletariat. The November 15th protests in Mexico are no exception; they are, in essence, another chapter in the clash of bourgeois factions that use the discontent of the wage-earning and oppressed masses as a weapon in their struggle for control of the bourgeois state, leaving the structure of exploitation of the working class intact.

The Mexican working class, like that throughout the world, must find its own path, outside the control of the bourgeoisie and opportunism, towards the struggle for demands, outside of parliamentarism, organized in true class unions that promote the general strike, paving the way for revolutionary action, which can only be carried out to its ultimate consequences by the international communist party.

Class Unions...

through their supply chains, they implement the same profit-maximization strategies driving exploitation throughout their global operations. Workers in different countries fighting the same corporations must coordinate their struggles.

Cross-sector coordination strengthens working-class power. The campaign called on workers throughout the supply chain production, logistics, retail to recognize their common interest in supporting the Sık Makas workers' struggle in Turkey. While practical limitations prevented full realization of this coordination, it is an important step in the direction that workers must take if their organization is to take on the attributes of a struggle capable of defending itself against the interconnected global capitalist agenda.

The Party's Task

We are not in a revolutionary situation. The working class remains largely atomized. Yet capitalism's contradictions continue producing explosions of struggle. Communist militants must participate in these struggles and work toward their maximum development.

BİRTEK-SEN's emergence represents an objective process driven by material conditions. When exploitation intensifies beyond tolerable limits and existing organizations prove collaborationist, workers create new structures. Communists do not "create" these developments, but must recognize their significance and work within them.

What makes BİRTEK-SEN significant is its break from collaborationist unionism. Its formation outside legal structures, organization of exploited immigrant workers, and rejection of collaboration emerge not from revolutionary ideology but from practical necessities workers face under intensified exploitation.

This is how class unions emerge, not from declarations but from material conditions making collaboration impossible and independent struggle necessary. Textile workers facing wage theft and mass firings have no recourse within official structures. They must organize outside the legal apparatus or accept absolute exploitation.

Supporting such developments means participating in struggles, clarifying their broader significance, and building international connections. We prefigure necessary organizational frameworks, anticipating sharper confrontations to come. As crisis deepens and inter-imperialist tensions escalate, ruling-class assaults on working-class standards will intensify, producing explosive responses. The existence of embryonic class unions and practical international coordination experience will prove invaluable.

The road to revolution remains long and difficult. Yet even in periods of working-class retreat, the fundamental antagonism continues producing struggles pointing toward revolutionary conclusions. BİRTEK-SEN's emergence, Turkish textile uprisings, and Sık Makas international solidarity demonstrate that class

(cont p 6)

The International Communist Party

Argentina: Workers Caught Between the Bourgeois Government's Offensive and the Betrayal of the Trade Union Federations

Key indicators at the end of the third quarter and the beginning of the fourth quarter of 2025, framed by the recent legislative victory of La Libertad Avanza (LLA), confirm and deepen the brutal transfer of surplus value from wage labor to capital that has characterized the political orientation of the current government, which, with a plan different from that of the previous Peronists (or Kirchnerists), has managed the interests of the bourgeoisie.

Stagnant inflation, devoured wages, and an increase in precarious or informal employment (hidden unemployment)

After the announced inflationary "stabilization," workers have been left with a consolidated loss of purchasing power of their wages, while the "decline" in unemployment is masked by an alarming rise in informality.

Payment of full wages to unemployed workers.

Reduction of working hours and retirement age. In education: reduction of the number of students per teacher and per classroom. In healthcare: reduction of the number of patients per nurse and per ward. All these measures would free up jobs.

Against overtime work.

All should have hygienic and safe working conditions

For services that free women from the constraints of the family economy.

None of these will be definitive solutions, and the working class must constantly fight for these and other demands, until it takes its struggles to a higher level, to the level of revolutionary struggle, against the bourgeoisie and capitalism, for the Dictatorship of the Proletariat and Communism.

Debt, Austerity, and Imperialist "Aid"

Fiscal austerity has been deepened to achieve primary surplus targets (estimated at 1.5% of GDP by the end of the year). Notable in this area are:

- Massive budget cuts: The government has continued to adjust the budget, concentrating cuts on pensions, subsidies and, critically, public works and decentralized agencies, which translates into a contraction of essential services and job losses.

- Layoffs in the Public Sector: The

government released in the media reported a general decline in conflict involving

Ecuador: The National Strike

An uprising without revolutionary orientation, without workers' demands, and marked by reformist aspirations for improvements within capitalism

The National Strike in Ecuador, which revived the mass protests of 2019 and 2022, lasted for approximately 31 days, beginning in September 2025. The strike was called by the Confederation of Indigenous Nationalities of Ecuador (CONAIE) and the United Workers' Front (FUT) against the decision of Daniel Noboa's government to eliminate the diesel subsidy. The measure, which caused a sharp rise in fuel prices, particularly affected transportation, agricultural production, and rural areas, where a large part of the indigenous and peasant population resides. Mobilization and the closure of access roads in different regions were the main forms of protest.

On Wednesday, October 22, CONAIE announced the end of the national strike, the clearing of roads, and the withdrawal of its supporters to their territories. The government maintained its policy of dismantling the fuel subsidy, acting decisively in repressing the protests, taking advantage of the state of emergency decree initially approved to deal with criminal groups involved in drug trafficking. The government, in its narrative, has promoted the opinion that social protest is linked to the activity of transnational criminal groups, describing the protest actions as acts of "terrorism." The entire police, military, and judicial apparatus in capitalism is designed to repress the working class and any oppressed social stratum, and this will not change within the framework of bourgeois democracy, even if governments change, including hypothetical governments (many of whom are of indigenous origin) and even those engaged in "artisanal" and illegal mining.

Based on its multi-class approach, CONAIE (and workers' organizations such as FUT, which joined the movement) focused its list of demands on:

Repeal of Decree 126 (elimination of the diesel subsidy), defense of public health and education, and rejection of neoliberal policies.

Demanding attention and reparations for the victims of repression, the release of detained protesters, and an end to the criminalization of social protest.

Rejection of new mining and oil concessions and their environmental impact on the Amazon (which also has a significant impact on Brazil, Peru, and Venezuela).

Neither the FUT nor CONAIE demanded a wage increase. The Unified Basic Wage (SBÜ) has remained low (\$460 in 2024), which contrasts with the high cost of the Basic Family Basket, which far exceeds the minimum income. The situation is aggravated by unemployment and growing informal employment, which makes jobs precarious, and the difficulty of accessing formal employment.

The CONAIE strike, which the FUT has always joined, has been dominated by a multi-class approach that practically ignores the demands of workers. We cannot continue to repeat uprisings without revolutionary orientation, without workers' demands, and restricted to reformist demands for improvements to bourgeois democracy within capitalism. These strikes have essentially served as escape valves for social pressure, facilitating the continued domination of the capitalists, who extract surplus value supported by CONAIE.

CONAIE leader Marlon Vargas said the decision was "difficult but necessary" and was made hours after President Noboa threatened a "stronger decision" to "open all avenues." The main reason was the need to "protect his people" in the face of intensifying government repression.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

three community members dead and hundreds injured (figures vary between 200 and 300), in addition to more than 200 detained.

The mobilization left a tragic toll of

dues?" and "Where are you, the union, in this process?" For now, TPI workers are pursuing a strategy of expanding their struggle to gain public support.

After the local elections in Sivas, the contracts of 30 workers were not renewed by the former administration. The workers who were not rehired filed a lawsuit against the municipality. In one of the lawsuits, although the plaintiff was ordered to be reinstated, the worker was not rehired. Upon this, the workers began their resistance and set up a "municipal workers' solidarity and action tent" in the district. The workers' resistance has been going on for several months.

New Struggles

Fifty-seven Omsa Metal workers, organized in Birlesik Metal-Is (United Metal Workers' Union - DISK), began a protest when they were dismissed without compensation during collective bargaining negotiations. After protesting at the factory gates for 69 days, the workers moved their protest inside the factory on the 69th day and began a sit-in. On the same day, negotiations between employers and union leaders resulted in a decision to pay the dismissed workers their unpaid severance pay and an additional severance payment.

Around 80 workers employed at the Mert Aksan Güç Factory, organized under Birlesik Metal-Is, decided to go on strike after failing to reach an agreement in collective bargaining negotiations. The employers, who did not accept the union's demand for a 40% wage increase, were given until September 26 to reach an agreement. An agreement was reached with the employers before the strike began on September 26, and a collective bargaining agreement was signed.

In Kayseri, around 2,000 Yatas work-

Nigeria: Workers' Struggle, Imperialist War, and the Function of the Regime Unions

In December 2025, two developments in Nigeria unfolded almost simultaneously. The United States carried out direct military airstrikes against Islamic State-linked forces in the northwest, while the primary Nigerian trade union confederation announced nationwide protests and threatened a general strike in response to worsening living conditions. These events do not express opposing forces. They are two moments of the same process within the crisis of capitalism, where the mechanisms of imperialism and the national unions conspire together to contain and corral a potentially powerful working class movement.

On December 25–26, U.S. forces launched missile strikes against militant targets in Sokoto State, acting in coordination with the Nigerian government. The operation was publicly described as counterterrorism, and its justification framed as an operation to defend Christians. It has now become a typical cause for the U.S. capitalist to justify foreign intervention on grounds of defending religious minorities and whites. Yet the dominant imperialism intervenes where instability threatens strategic interests, not to resolve the social causes of violence but to regulate it. As is well known, the receding power of France in the area has led to the expansion of Russian influence and similar forces also threaten Nigeria who remains firmly tied to U.S. dominated finance capital. Nigeria, like many of the former colonial, sub-imperialism within global capitalism has a population exposed to both insurgent violence and foreign military force without either offering a way out of misery.

The persistence of armed groups in northern Nigeria is the result of decades of rural impoverishment, unemployment, land dispossession, and state decay producing conditions in which violence becomes a livelihood and a means of survival. Military force, domestic or foreign, does not resolve these conditions. It manages their symptoms while reproducing their causes.

At the same time, workers across Nigeria face falling real wages, inflation, insecurity, and the collapse of public services. In response, the Nigeria Labour Congress (NLC) called for protests and threatened strike action, declaring a "National Day of Protest and Mourning". The grievances invoked are real. Workers are killed or kidnapped on their way to work. They cannot reproduce their labour power under present conditions. Their anger is justified and the masses of workers faced with deepening economic crisis are moved to mass action; however, the established unions, masquerading as leaders instead as ever act as the saboteurs of the movement, containing and corralling in the Nigerian proletariat just as they do across the world.

The NLC and its allied unions do not organize workers as an independent class force. They organize discontent in order to

ers organized under Öz İplik-Is (Textile Workers' Real Union - Hak-Is) went on strike. The work stoppage, which began in August with workers in the soft department, spread to all departments. The workers, who demanded at least a 40% raise, decided to strike if their demands were not met. Negotiations with the union resulted in a 22% raise. The Yatas management, which had previously stated that it supported the workers and that they could consider changing unions, fired 14 workers who joined DISK. The DISK Textile Union marched to the factory gates with the 14 dismissed workers. While the dismissed workers' struggle continued, the Öz İplik-Is statement included items such as "distributing holiday candy, and cologne during religious holidays, openly mocking the workers."

Tens of thousands of agricultural workers, working 8-10 hours a day under the scorching sun in the provinces of Adana, Mersin, and Hatay in Çukurova, where nearly 250,000 seasonal agricultural workers are employed, rebelled against their daily wages of 900 liras. The Precarious Workers' Association tried to contact employer representatives for 30-40 days, but when they failed to get results, they decided to go on strike. After a strike lasting about 9 days, an agreement was reached with the bosses, and workers will be paid 1,200 lira until the new year and 1,500 lira after the new year.

Workers at Ege University Hospital, organized under Sağlık-Is (Health Workers Union - Türk-Is), staged a five-day work stoppage when the promise to pay back wages by October 8 was not kept. After the fifth day, an agreement was reached following talks between the university administration and the union, and the workers returned to work. An agreement was reached between the union and the university to pay the back payments by November 15. Workers reacted

as if all this weren't enough, by stating that the union they belong to is Öz-İplik-Is, it is not difficult to understand how work-

The International Communist Party

to the union's acceptance of such a late payment, but there was no return to industrial action.

260 workers employed by solar panel manufacturer Smart Solar, who are members of Birlesik Metal-Is, went on strike on October 22 demanding a 50 percent pay increase after receiving only a 6 percent raise. The workers, who are continuing their strike, showed their determination in a post, saying, "You wanted a production record, we achieved it. You asked for overtime, we stayed. You threatened that if we went on strike, the factory would close, but we didn't give in. You didn't pay the education assistance payments, you didn't care. We are not afraid, the strike is our celebration."

A work stoppage erupted at the Şik Makas factory in Tokat, where 1,700 workers are employed, after months of unpaid wages. The workers had grievances not only about unpaid wages but also about the mobbing they suffered, being forced to resign, and even when wages were paid, they were paid in installments. In September alone, more than a thousand workers were forced to leave their jobs because they couldn't get their wages. However, the Tokat Governor's Office banned the workers' demonstration. The state went beyond crushing the workers' legitimate demands and mobilized funds for the employer. Incentives exceeding 20 billion lira, tax breaks that amounted to the employer not paying taxes at all; all these stories will surely sound familiar. They also tried to fool the workers by opening a new factory in Egypt and telling them they were "downsizing," without mentioning that the minimum wage they would pay in Egypt was almost a quarter of what it was in Turkey.

As if all this weren't enough, by stating that the union they belong to is Öz-İplik-Is, it is not difficult to understand how work-

ers could be subjected to these conditions. Workers were forced to sign an agreement that would prevent them from receiving compensation during a process in which Öz-İplik-Is acted as a mediator. It also mobbed workers who were members of BIRTEK-SEN to join them. They said that despite the dismissal of 2,000 workers in the last two years, the union had done nothing except collect dues from a group of workers who were already earning the minimum wage. However, the workers receive the support they cannot get from Öz-İplik-Is from BIRTEK-SEN. Over 800 workers have transferred to BIRTEK-SEN. The struggle of Şik Makas workers is an important indicator that the militant BIRTEK-SEN has begun to move beyond being a local union in the Antep-Urf region and become an influential union nationwide.

Municipal Workers' Struggles

Approximately 1,800 Buca Municipality (İzmir) workers organized under Genel-Is (DISK) began a protest in front of the municipal building after not receiving their salaries for three months and their food cards and collective agreement differences for six months. On September 15, the municipality threatened to fire the workers with a message stating, "Your assignment at Buca Municipality has been terminated as of September 15, 2025." Unfazed by the municipality's threats, the Buca workers continued their protest, and as a result, it was decided in union negotiations that the two unpaid salaries and the eight days' wages unpaid during the protest would be paid. When the salaries promised to be paid on October 2 were not paid, the workers called for another walkout.

Approximately 2,400 workers at Şişli Municipality (İstanbul), organized by

Genel-Is, began a walkout when management failed to pay overtime and bonuses, eliminated weekend holidays, and on top of that, did not pay their salaries on time. Unable to achieve results from the walkouts that began on September 16, the workers staged a protest in front of the Cevahir Shopping Mall on October 14.

Workers at Maltepe Municipality (İstanbul), where around 2,000 workers organized by Genel-Is are employed, held a press conference when the articles signed in the collective bargaining agreement were not implemented. Stating that mobbing and pressure against workers had increased, the workers said, "The pressure has now turned into unfair dismissals. We are not fighting for those who have committed shameful crimes, but for those who have been dismissed without cause." Unable to achieve results from the press conference, the workers staged a protest in front of the municipality building.

1,800 Karşıyaka Municipality (İzmir) workers, organized under Genel-Is, went on strike when they did not receive their wages. The workers returned to work after promises were made by the municipality, but when the municipality failed to keep its word, they went on strike again. The protests, which began on September 22, lasted three days, and on the third day, an agreement was signed with the municipality. Seventy percent of the September salary will be paid on September 30, and the remaining 30 percent will be paid on October 10. The salary for October will be paid in full between October 15 and 30. For striking workers employed by Kent AŞ, a company affiliated with the municipality, a capital increase will be made in October by a council decision, and payments will be planned after that.

Speech in Solidarity...

(continued from pg. 5)

combative textile workers union. Hundreds left the regime union and joined BIRTEK-SEN because of wage theft, threats, and the union's open collaboration with management. This situation and the employer's actions have brought destitution to these workers: evictions, families going into debt, children pulled from school, and workers pushed into severe psychological distress, all while global brands like H&M, Zara, Mango, Levi's and Bestseller continue to profit from the factory's production.

We are here to demand that these unpaid wages of the Şik Makas workers be paid. We are here in solidarity with our fellow workers from one side of the world to the other. We are here to stand together as the working class.

Pay Şik Makas workers! Pay them! Pay them! Pay them!

Pay them their wages!

This situation is not unique to Turkey, but reflects the reality of millions of textile and garment workers who are paid minuscule wages, most commonly in countries like China, India, Bangladesh, and Pakistan. These factories operate under contracts dictated by international brands based in the United States and European countries such as the UK and Spain.

These same corporations that exploit workers in Turkey's factories and beyond exploit workers everywhere, including retail workers in the United States and Europe. We face the same enemy, and we must fight together.

The working class is connected internationally through the global capitalist supply chain, from the workers in Turkey who produce the clothes to the retail workers in the United States and Europe who sell them. This gives the working class the power to coerce the bosses on an international level to meet our demands. Without us, the workers, there is no profit to be had. Therefore organized worker power and international solidarity can force these brands and bosses to pay what they owe.

Solidarity with the Şik Makas Workers! Solidarity with Birtek Sen! Workers of the world, unite!

difficult periods. Workers across multiple countries organized coordinated actions to support Turkish textile workers' struggle against multinational corporations. They raised money, built practical connections between workers in different countries, and applied pressure on the corporations profiting from exploitation. This work represents a small but significant step toward the international working-class organization that the struggle against capitalism requires.

Solidarity with Şik Makas Workers!
For Combative Class Unions!
Workers of the World, Unite!

nelns, and on its results. The financial situation was presented. We gave the necessary space to report on new or in-depth studies and on the trade union activity we carry out in the countries where we are present.

We then moved on to listening to reports on various topics of study.

- The Agrarian Question
- Iran in the party's study
- Trade union activity of the NA Section (Summary published in TICP 66)
- The social situation in Venezuela
- Recent events involving the Turkish bourgeoisie
- Ökhrana
- Profintern
- Germany
- Party editorial offices
- Party indexes

The Agrarian Question

The Transition to Capitalism

At this meeting, the presentation of the chapter Capitalism and Agriculture continued. We examined an important aspect of the transition from the domestic economy of the feudal mode of production and primitive communism to the capitalist form. Kautsky, in The Agrarian Question, emphasizes how quickly this transition takes place: "Capitalist industry has such superiority that it quickly eliminates domestic peasant industry intended for personal consumption, and only the capitalist system of communications, with its railways, post office, and newspapers, brings the ideas and products of the city to the remotest corners of the countryside and subjects the entire rural population, not just those living near the cities, to this process."

With the inexorable advance of the capitalist mode of production, the peasant sees the old mode of production rapidly and violently dissolving: the need for money, which he previously needed for "superfluous" items, now becomes vitally important. He cannot run his farm, he can no longer live without money.

The feudal lords, princes, and state power also have an increasing need for money. This leads to the transformation of the farmer's payments in kind into payments in cash. As a result, the products of the land are transformed into commodities to be brought to market. These are no longer the products of his backward industry, for which he could easily find a buyer, but of urban industry. The farmer became a mere cultivator. The independence, security, and comfort of the peasant's existence as it had

been known until then disappeared.

Kautsky: "The farmer now depends on the market, which he found even more capricious and uncertain than the weather. He could defend himself to a certain extent against the vagaries of the weather (...) But he has no means of preventing prices from falling and selling unsold grain. What had previously been a blessing for him, namely a good harvest, now became a calamity! (...) The further away the markets for which the farmer produces become, the more impossible it is for him to sell directly to the consumer, the more he needs an intermediary.

The further away the markets for which the farmer produces become, the more impossible it is for him to sell directly to the consumer, the more he needs an intermediary. Between the consumer and the producer stands the merchant, who knows the market... dominates it... and uses it to exploit the farmer.

"The grain and livestock merchant is soon joined by the usurer, when he is not identified with him. In bad years, the farmer's income is not sufficient; he has no choice but to resort to credit, to mortgage his land (...) What bad harvests, iron and fire could not do before, crises in the grain and livestock markets can now do. These crises not only cause temporary hardship for the farmer, but also alienate him from his sources of life—the land—separating him from them forever and turning him into a proletarian."

The more the farmer becomes dependent on the market, the greater the surplus of means of subsistence he must produce and sell, and the greater the amount of land he needs in proportion to the size of his family. But since he cannot extend his cultivated land at will, he is forced to reduce his overly large family, as he cannot feed it; he therefore sends the surplus labor away from the family home, sending them to work for others as laborers, soldiers, or urban proletarians, or to create a new home. The peasant family is thus reduced as much as possible.

As agriculture requires more labor at certain times of the year, two or three times more than in winter, where previously the members of the family compensated for this by working at home during the crop rest periods, they are now insufficient, and external wage laborers are hired for certain periods. They cost less than a family member for the whole year. Over time, even the members of the family unit become wage earners for the head of the family, and the farm property, the family inheritance, becomes the property of the head of the family.

The ancient peasant family community is thus replaced by large farms with their army of wage-earning workers who, under the orders of the owner, work the fields, tend the livestock, and put his harvest in the barns.

The class antagonism between the exploited and the exploiters quickly

penetrated the countryside and the peasant family itself, destroying the ancient harmony and commonality of interests.

This whole process had been developing since the Middle Ages, but it was only with the capitalist mode of production that it underwent an extraordinary acceleration to the point of regulating the conditions of the rural population.

The capitalist economy draws small peasant farms into the commercial vortex, and "the more agriculture becomes capitalist, the more it develops the qualitative difference between the techniques of small-scale and large-scale production."

"This qualitative difference," Lenin reiterates, "did not exist in pre-capitalist agriculture."

Continuing, we have outlined the part concerning the capitalist mode of production in agriculture. We have read passages from The Condition of the Working Class in England by the young Engels, which outlines the emergence of the capitalist mode of production in agriculture and the imposition of its inexorable law of the exploitation of man by man and of the land on the altar of profit.

Federico thus introduces the chapter "The Agricultural Proletariat": "The abandoned fields were aggregated into large leases, with small farmers overwhelmed by the overwhelming competition of large farms. Instead of remaining landowners or tenants, they were forced to give up their farms and enter the service of large landowners as farm workers. For a time, even the spread of industry counterbalanced the increase in population. But when industrial progress began to slow down, and continuous improvements in machinery made it impossible for industry to absorb all the surplus working population from the agricultural districts, from that moment on, the misery that had hitherto been confined to the industrial districts, and even there only from time to time, appeared in the rural districts as well."

Engels continues this important chapter: "The workers are almost all day laborers and are employed by the landlords when they need them, and therefore often have no work for weeks, especially in winter. In the patriarchal state, where the peasants and their families lived on the farm and their children grew up there, where day laborers were the exception rather than the rule, there were more workers on each property than were strictly necessary. It was therefore in the tenant farmer's interest to dissolve this state of affairs, to drive the farmer off the farm and turn him into a day laborer. This happened more or less generally at the end

of the twentieth year of this century [the nineteenth century], and as a result, the latent surplus population was dissolved and wages fell. From that moment on, agricultural districts became the main seat of permanent pauperism, as factory districts were of the other kind, and poor laws were the first measure that public authorities could conceive of against the growing impoverishment of agricultural communities.

The continuous expansion of large-scale farming, the introduction of threshing machines and other machinery in agriculture, and the widespread employment of women and children in the fields, which is so common that its consequences were recently examined by a special official commission, left a large number of workers unemployed. The industrial system was created by large-scale economics, with the dissolution of the patriarchal state and the introduction of machines, steam power, and the labor of women and children, and it pushed the most extreme and stable part of the working class into the revolutionary movement. The longer agriculture had proved its stability, the heavier the burden fell on the worker, and the more violent the disorganization of the old social bond became.

The report went on to explain how, with the inexorable advance of the capitalist mode of production, agricultural proletarians in England, and even more so in Ireland, became miserable, hungry, and destitute, and how the peasants' struggles against the landowners developed, often involving arson and murder.

Iran in the Party's Study

In the 1950s, the economy and politics revolved around the nationalization of the Anglo-Iranian Oil Company (AIOC), through which the nascent Iranian bourgeoisie, in a still semi-feudal and predominantly agricultural society, sought autonomy from British and US capitalism, with the support or approval of the other imperialist power that emerged victorious from the Second World War: the USSR.

Even in those years and in those backward economic conditions, although the development of autonomous capitalism could have been a progressive factor and a source of development for the working class, the local bourgeoisie and its political parties were subordinate to the support and decisions of one power or another.

On the one hand, the monarchy feared a British reaction and the economic consequences of the nationalization of the AIOC, while on the other, a large part of Iranian so-

(General Meeting 153)

The bloodthirsty international oligarchy of capitalists threatens and challenges the working class: Proletarians of all countries, unite!

The working class is today threatened by the war preparations of the world centers of capital, a new massacre desired and planned in order to avert the international uprising of the proletarians, aroused to defend their lives from the effects of the precipitating crisis of the present economic regime sacrificed to profit.

We do not know whether the working class, after a century of counter-revolution, will have time to rebuild its economic organs and connect with its revolutionary Marxist party, and thus have the strength to prevent the outbreak of world war. Even if it does not succeed, it will voice its opposition to rearmament, militarism, and nationalism, declaring that war is a bourgeois, capitalist affair and that wage earners are only forced into it by the power of different states. Under these conditions, it will be possible, in the course of the war, to transform it into international proletarian fraternization and revolutionary civil war for communism.

We dedicate our currently minuscule party forces to this great, crucial result.

As previously agreed and at the request of the center, we held our

ciety, the small landowning, industrial, and commercial bourgeoisie, hoped to receive a share of the oil revenues.

Nationalism also spread among the poor classes, who identified the enemy as the 'foreigner'. They protested against the monarchy in support of Mohammad Mossadeq's nationalist party, which, together with the Shiite clergy of Ayatollah Kashani, was in favor of nationalization and closer to the interests of the nascent local bourgeoisie.

Mossadeq, with the support of Kashani's party and also strengthened by the support of the Tudeh, the Iranian Stalinist party, was elected Prime Minister in 1951, the year in which the nationalization of the company was approved by parliament almost unanimously.

The enthusiasm was soon dampened, both because Iranian capitalism lacked adequate capital, means of transport, and technical knowledge, and because of the harsh reaction not only of the United Kingdom but also of the United States, which feared the expansion of the USSR in the Middle East. This led to the 'Abadan crisis (1951-1954)', an economic war of attrition in which the British halted Iranian oil production, which was moved to countries such as Saudi Arabia, lowered prices, banned the export of essential consumer goods (including sugar and iron) to Iran, and froze Iran's current accounts in British banks.

Ayatollah Kashani turned his back on Mossadeq, under the pretext of the government's reluctance to transform Iran into an Islamic state. The US intervened alongside Britain, at the price that the AIOC would be joined by the major US oil companies.

In 1953, a bloody coup d'état, organized by the US and supported by monarchist forces and the clergy, brought the Shah back to his homeland, a puppet of Western capitalist interests.

Despite Mossadeq's fall, Iran nevertheless maintained nationalization, and in 1954 exports resumed but by the so-called "Iran Consortium" led by British Petroleum, Gulf Oil, Shell, Esso, Mobil, Texaco, and Chevron. In the following years, Enrico Mattei also tried with AGIP to participate in the banquet, but was excluded.

In fact, American imperialism won, obtaining 40% of the product.

Some data on society at the time are provided by a short article taken from *Programma Comunista* in 1958: "Of the 300,000 workers in industry, the highest-paid Iranian worker (3,000 rials per month, 24,000 Italian lire) spends up to 75% on food, 20% on housing, and the rest on clothing, hygiene, education, and miscellaneous items... An elite group of landowners, who supported the return of the Shah to the throne, represent 0.1% of the population and own 56% of arable land. 2% of the rural population owns between 6 and 20 hectares of land, 13.6% between 1 and 6 hectares, and 22% less than one hectare. Then there are the agricultural laborers, who make up 60% of the rural population, a mass of dispossessed people who earn enough to feed themselves with a cup of tea, a flatbread, and a glass of curdled milk. And, last but not least: 100,000 civil servants and employees, out of a population of about 18 million, spread over a territory as vast as five and a half Italies.

An article we wrote in September 1953, a few weeks after the coup d'état, describes the situation.

"Out of a population of just over 19 million people, almost 15 million are engaged in agriculture, still mummified in feudal molds. The vast expanse of steppe land means that sheep farming is widespread, and much of the population is still nomadic. To find a historical phase of the same level in

European history, we have to go back millennia."

"All the 'semi-colonial' countries of the world are today troubled by the parallel and contradictory pressures of a confused movement of emancipation of the indigenous bourgeoisie and the impossibility for the latter to keep pace, on its own, with the technical level and economic demands of the industrial apparatus inherited from the colonizing bourgeoisie or violently wrested from it..."

"It was the realization of the national bourgeoisie's dream of eliminating all foreign interference and participation in the management and profits of Iran's only, ultra-modern, and powerful industrial complex that united the disparate and contradictory forces of contemporary Persian society behind the old minister. The landowners expected the increased state revenues to lead to the abandonment of land reform projects; the commercial and industrial classes counted on enjoying the undivided fruits of the oil industry and those industries linked to it; the proletariat vented its unrest, discontent, and instinct for revolt as a cruelly exploited class in the struggle against 'the foreigner'..."

"The bloc around Mossadeq collapsed as soon as the immediate phase of nationalization was over... The antagonisms of interest between landowners and merchants-industrialists resurfaced..."

"Political success was not followed by economic improvement, not only for the poor populations of Persia, but also for the privileged classes and the state bureaucracy..."

"A bourgeois revolution that does not produce money has no reason to exist. Mossadeq's revolution proved to be a bad deal from the outset. Sooner or later, the anti-British coalition, which at the time of the expulsion of the British from Abadan ranged from the Court to the Tudeh, centred around Mossadeq's party, was bound to break up badly, as happens with unfortunate commercial companies..."

"Those who truly felt the defeat, in their flesh and in their illusions, were the local and international proletariat who, under the nefarious influence of Stalinism, truly believed, and still believes that the global power structure of imperialism can be undermined on the periphery, with actions that, even if they resemble revolutionary methods of struggle, take place in the absence of a concomitant battle against the European and American centers of imperialism..."

"Undoubtedly, we are not at the final act of the drama: the West, and England in particular, may score a point in their favor in the Shah's coup d'état, but the crisis in Persia is not resolved for this reason, just as the crisis in all semi-colonial and colonial countries is not resolved, but rather is in its early stages. Abrupt reversals, increasingly chaotic situations, antagonisms, and counter-reactions remain possible, leaving the door open to new crises, new twists, and new emergency solutions, dominated, however, by the same problem, by the same disproportion between the forces of the native bourgeoisie and the gigantic capital investments, the very high degree of technical specialization, and the ability to compete on the world market, which the large industrial complexes based on the exploitation of indigenous raw materials presuppose.

"The national bourgeoisie of these countries cannot, in the long run, avoid throwing itself back into the arms of foreign capital: it is not the bourgeoisie, but the indigenous working class that is the victim of the convulsions caused by the industrialization of semi-colonial countries. The task of the Marxist party is therefore a difficult one.

The article we wrote in September 1953, a few weeks after the coup d'état, describes the situation.

"Out of a population of just over 19 million people, almost 15 million are engaged in agriculture, still mummified in feudal molds. The vast expanse of steppe land means that sheep farming is widespread, and much of the population is still nomadic. To find a historical phase of the same level in

The International Communist Party

We openly fight the humanitarian lies of the capitalist colonizers, but precisely because we aim to denounce the oppression and exploitation of people of color, we cannot sympathize with the emerging indigenous bourgeoisies who aim to inherit the role of the white oppressor.

"The national struggles and revolts in the colonies are of particular interest to us because, in conditions of instability in the

world's imperialist centers and revolutionary recovery, the national-popular uprisings in backward countries will converge, albeit with specific objectives, in the operation to strangle the white imperialist centers led by the metropolitan proletariat..."

"But the oppressed masses of Persia still have a revolutionary task to perform. The moment will come."

The Social Situation in Venezuela

emergence of a class uprising, which would truly be a threat to the capitalist system in general, and in particular to the plans for acquisitions and profitable investments for transnational capital.

With the slogan "Long live the armed struggle!", the ruling party (PSUV) calls for the formation of militias and the preparation of a guerrilla movement based on the communes. It even refers to the Vietnamese experience. In this way, it is mobilizing its social base, evoking revolutionary language and inviting them to take on the role of a "vanguard", which means maintaining internal peace between the classes which is the negation of class struggle. The external enemy is feared when the real class enemies are within, namely the bourgeoisie, the landowners, and their parties. The expected result will be the strengthening of the military-police apparatus (and the militias) against the working class.

Opposition to this bourgeois tactic in Venezuela is the primary responsibility of the revolutionary party, which does not shirk its duties despite the unfavorable conditions.

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society, aimed not at repelling foreign invasion, but containing the potential

Chavismo has gained control of all public powers, the parliament, and regional and local governments, and is trying to maintain the political initiative in the streets.

The right-wing opposition, both those who openly declare themselves in favor of US intervention and those who have joined the government in calling for the defense of sovereignty and the homeland, are unable to establish themselves as an alternative government, while promoting propaganda initiatives to deceive the masses, as Chavismo is doing.

The US military deployment in the Caribbean has become an opportunity for the bourgeoisie to fuel patriotism, calling on workers to enlist in the militias. This propaganda fails to penetrate the broad masses (which does not mean that they have a "revolutionary consciousness" as some opportunists claim), but, this cynical and demagogic invocation of "armed struggle" opens the door to the militarization of society,

tempt to win the working masses over to one's side by any means was due more to desperation than anything else, but it was unfortunately foreseeable, and foreseen by us, that, especially with the end of the ascendant moment of the revolution, the unnatural united front with the union leaders and reformist politicians could only lead to the abandonment of classist and communist positions.

Such ambiguities, and worse, are also present in the "Theses of the Fourth Congress on the tactics of the Comintern", dated December 5, 1922: «The Communists are even prepared to negotiate with the traitorous leaders of the Social Democrats and with those of Amsterdam (...) The true success of the United Front comes "from below" (...) However, the communists cannot give up negotiating (...) even with the leaders of the opposing parties»

At the opening of the Fifth Congress of the Communist International, in June 1924, the delegates, in the name of the United Front and proletarian unity, unexpectedly found themselves faced with the proposal to dissolve the Profintern and join Amsterdam. There was still talk of treason by the Amsterdam leaders, but at the same time giving emphasis on the emergence of a left-wing current within it. It was stated that the international unity of the trade union movement "would be re-established by convening a World Congress to which all unions affiliated with either the Amsterdam International or the Red International of Trade Unions would be represented, represented on a proportional basis."

The new trade union approach expressed in the Fifth Congress of the IC was re-proposed at the Third Congress of the Profintern, which opened on 8 July 1924, with the manifest disagreement of the Italian Left, which in the Theses presented in Lyon in 1926, at the point 8, writes: «The Amsterdam International office was to be considered and treated not as an organism of the proletarian masses but as a counter-revolutionary political organ of the League of Nations (...) However, the usefulness of a united front tactic on a global basis with all the trade union bodies, including those adhering to Amsterdam, cannot be excluded».

The merger between the two Internationals was rejected by Amsterdam. But in April 1925 Representatives of the Russian and British trade unions met in London, forming an "Anglo-Russian Committee". Its creation was presented by Zinoviev as proof of the correctness of the United Front tactic.

Stalin declared in July 1926: "If the reactionary trade unions are willing to form with the revolutionary trade unions of our country a coalition against the counter-revolutionary imperialists of their country, why should this bloc not be approved? It was easy for Trotsky to reply that "if the reactionary unions were capable of fighting against their imperialists they would not be reactionary."

In 1926 the General Council of the British Trade Unions was forced by proletarian pressure, following the coal mine lockout, to call a strike. The strike was soon sabotaged by union bosses, but the International and the Profintern nevertheless continued to participate in the Committee. As late as April 1927, the Russian delegates of this committee, who had already recognized the General Council of the British Trade Unions as "the sole representative and spokesman of the trade union movement in England," pledged "not to diminish the authority" of the leaders, trade unionists and to "not concern themselves with the internal affairs of the English unions".

The "Appeal of the Executive Committees of the IC and the ISR to all sections and all workers", of May 9, 1926, reiterates this attitude, condemned by us, on the British workers' struggle: "The Executive Committees of the IC and the ISR urge all sections to make every effort to ensure unity of action. To this end, meetings with representatives of other parties and organizations are recommended."

In the "Theses of the Seventh Plenum on trustification, rationalization and 'Tasks of Communists in the Trade Unions', dated the 16th of December 1926, at points 10, 12 and 13 we read: «10 (...) The failure of the general strike and the united front of the Amsterdam International with the General Council for the sabotage of the strike of the miners has resulted in the consolidation of the top organisation of the Amsterdam International (...) The General Council is now promoting exactly the same policy as the Amsterdam International, and in this sense it can safely be said that the failure of the general strike has been advantageous for the Amsterdam International to the same extent that the turn to the right of the trade union apparatus in any country is advantageous for those who defend the interests of the reactionary European bureaucracy and bourgeoisie (...) »12. The crisis of the Anglo-Russian Committee has provided a pretext for our adversaries to talk about the failure of unity and the united front tactic (...) »13. Starting from the consistent application of the united front tactic, the CC of the VKP(b) and the Presidium of the Communist International have spoken out against the tactic of dissolving the Anglo-Russian Committee (...). The alliance with the Amsterdam International is then reaffirmed, and the consequent defense of the indefensible Anglo Russian Committee.

German Capitalism...

(continued from pg. 7)

Kingdom in several fields.

Industrial concentration, the intertwining of large banks and large companies, the scientific research applied to production built that "organized capitalism" that has remained a distinctive feature to this day.

An organised urban proletariat arose alongside the development of Germany's productive forces with the expansion of the wage-system, and the movement for social democracy eventually became the great worker's party. The Weimar Republic inherited an urbanized country marked by profound regional inequalities and a serious economic crisis.

The Hitler regime abolished civil and trade union liberties and tried to incorporate the workers in the "popular community" subordinating them to the company and the State. After the catastrophe of wartime destruction, the two Germanies that emerged were forced to follow different paths: in the West, a coordinated capitalism with welfare, codetermination, and a rising middle class; in the East, a planned economy that guaranteed employment and favored workers and peasants.

The Federal Republic is a state divided into sixteen Länder, with a parliamentary

system that combines direct representation and the powers of the regional governments. The Bundestag and the Bundesrat embody this dual level, while the Federal Chancellor concentrates executive functions, and the President performs guarantor duties. To prevent the proliferation of political parties that characterized the Weimar Republic, the 1949 Constitution, by setting the electoral threshold at 5%, favored the formation of coalition governments.

West Germany saw its production system relaunched, the "miracle economy" of the 50s and 60s. The basis of that success was the same: a strong sector in manufacturing, a high-quality workforce, networks of specialized small and medium-sized businesses, and connections to foreign markets. Even today, despite globalization and digitalization, having transformed landscapes and supply chains, the Mittelstand constitutes the backbone of the German model: hundreds of thousands of small and medium-sized companies, often family-run, producing high-tech components and machinery for the global market.

From the Bismarckian model of welfare and social insurance to the modern system Germany's dual school-worker system has created a mechanism that trains generations of skilled workers and integrates their training directly into production processes. This system has guaranteed capital a disciplined and trained workforce, which translates into high profits and strong business competitiveness.

The reunification of 1990 involved the absorption of the German Democratic Republic (DDR) was forced into the Western economic system, with privatizations, factory closures, and massive migration to the West. This left social and political scars that are still visible: higher unemployment rates, lower wages.

Germany has always been a strongly export-oriented economy: first with Weltpolitik and German colonialism at the end of the nineteenth century, then with commercial expansion in the post-war period, up to the current interdependence with China and with the markets in Asia. About half of Germany's GDP depends on exports. This means that every international crisis, drop in foreign demand, or geopolitical shock has repercussions on the productive system. Recent examples—the 2008 financial crisis, the disruptions to value chains during the pandemic, the surge in energy prices following Russia's invasion of Ukraine and the resulting disruption of energy supplies from Russia—show just how vulnerable German growth is.

Today, the automotive sector, a symbol of "Made in Germany," is undergoing a momentous transformation: the transition to electric vehicles and competition from Chinese manufacturers like BYD threaten its supremacy and employment. German capital is suffering from declining profitability in mature sectors and is struggling to find new outlets for an accelerated cycle of accumulation.

Social discipline, made of hierarchy and collaboration, has allowed decades of stability, thanks in part to codetermination and union bargaining. But the utopian bourgeois ideologies and structures that ensured social peace and continuity have turned into rigidity when it comes to rapid innovation, investment in new technologies, or reorienting the energy system. This dialectic between strength and fragility constitutes one of today's most powerful contradictions.

For decades two major parties have polarised political life: the CDU/CSU of center-right and the Social Democratic SPD, with the liberals of the FDP holding the balance. Over the last twenty years, however, this balance has weakened. The SPD has gradually lost building consensus among industrial workers and urban pensioners; the CDU/CSU.

It maintains its lead but without a solid majority; the Greens have won over the young, and educated electorate of the cities; Die Linke, heir to the PDS in the East, represents weaker groups and militant sectors but has remained a minority.

In the February 2025 elections, the nationalist AfD, Alternative for Germany, jumped to 152 seats, becoming the second largest party. The CDU/CSU represents the conservative middle classes and rural businesses; the SPD represents a remnant of the working-class and public sector; the Greens represent the urban and cosmopolitan middle classes; Die Linke represents the working-class segments of the East; the AfD young men from the outskirts, small business owners and insecure workers, with a strong anti-immigration demagogic. The electoral bases of the parties are increasingly less identifiable with classes and increasingly with inter-class alliances between segments of different classes. The traditional terrain of political representation of labor has shattered, leaving room for both right and left wing populisms and growing abstentionism.

Today, the working class is seeing its wages and stability eroded by digitalization and offshoring. The lower middle class is still numerous but shrinking. Marginalized groups, the unemployed, poor pensioners, immigrants, and ethnic minorities struggle to access education and stable employment.

Since the 1990s, and explosively in 2015 with the refugee crisis, Germany has welcomed millions of people. This has helped slow the aging process of the population and fill jobs, but it has also generated tensions over competition for housing, services, and wages. Incidents of far-right violence have increased along with its political representation. A distinctive element of the German model was the role of trade unions and codetermination. Since the post-war period, workers have been able to elect factory councils and having representatives on the supervisory boards of companies with a certain number of employees, especially in strategic sectors such as the steel industry, has ensured decades of social peace, relatively high wages, ongoing training, and quality production. "Participation" has not eliminated class conflict, but has institutionalized it, under the illusion of making it compatible with capitalist accumulation.

Today, the fragmentation of work, the increase in precarious employment, and the decline in traditional industrial employment are reducing bargaining power. In the new technological and service sectors, unionization rates are much lower and employment relationships are more individualized. Traditional worker representation is in crisis. Traditional parties and unions are no longer able to represent the lower classes or address the economic crisis. Capitalists are looking to rearmament as a solution. The Rheinmetall Group, one of the most important arms manufacturers, continues to grow. In the first nine months of 2025, its turnover increased by 20%, reaching 7.5 billion euros (in 2024 it was 6.6 billion); growth in

Certain comrades volunteered and were tasked with implementing it. It is certainly not a perfect machine, nor is its results

The International Communist Party

the militant sector alone was 28%. This does not only concern Germany though, but all of advanced capitalism in the world. Germany is therefore a crucial laboratory for understanding the present and future of class struggles.

Report of the Editorial Staff of the Party's Printed Periodicals

Although a very serious, complex and demanding historical situation remains for us despite our minimal resources, we can boast of the regularity and rapid pace we have managed to maintain, even in the publishing field. This serene efficiency is based not on the exceptional intellectual or moral abilities of our members, but essentially on the way our group feels and works, free from the miseries of personalistic intellectualism and the impatience of voluntarism and politicizing.

Thanks to the generous work of all our comrades, old and young, we can boast the regular publication of six substantial printed organs, in four languages. They are all worthy of continuing today in the tradition of the centuries-old Marxist school and of providing continuity to the party's historical assessments, managing to promptly address many of the difficult issues that the situation presents to us.

A great factor in the strength of the Party is the relationship of centralization within the international organization. Where new requests and additions converge from many sides and comrades can continuously defend and confirm the historical red thread of communism. This factor facilitates internal growth on the levels of self-awareness and extension in its numerous functions, attentions and interventions.

Let's not go so far as to aspire to be a single international editorial board, which would only stifle the party's capabilities and energy. The party is the same everywhere; each local group fully expresses the party's voice in a given context. If, due to war or repression, connections between the sections and the center are severed, the party groups will continue to know, understand, and speak to the class, even in the absence of central directives. Nevertheless, the power of close coordination between the different sections is evident, and will allow us in the future to grow the editorial offices of which they were addressed.

The Periodic Update Pages of these documents (transcribed meticulously by hand, as electronics did not yet exist) were then transferred onto matrices, reproduced on a mimeograph machine and distributed to comrades at each General Meeting. Livio then continued this careful reading, taking note and consideration of the contents, and transcribing the titles, moving from the numbers of "Programma" to those of "Il Partito" and "Communism". Finally, since 1999, when, thanks to the knowledge and technical tests of a comrade from Paris, we were able to inaugurate the party's Internet site. Ezio took charge, after having learned to use the computer, of digitizing the many hundreds of pages of the Indexes from Livio's tiny handwriting. What we have today comes from this previous loving and meticulous work. What do we have today instead?

1. - A General Index of the site's pages, in order of insertion (international-communist-party.org/Address.htm). This, obviously, is updated almost daily. 2. - The Language Indexes of the new entries. Here the new writings and texts of different archives are listed separately. 3. - The Newspaper Index: for each issue we publish, all the titles and subtitles, with the subject next to them. 4. - We also have the Indexes by Subject, currently 24 (international-communist-party.org/Indices/IIndices.htm) The growth and improved structure of each of them reflects the amount of work the party can devote to the corresponding topic.

As a Note on the Page states: "The purpose of this tool is to facilitate the task of those who approach our doctrine. It certainly should not be considered exhaustive of the rich Marxist literature: the use of comrades will always suggest additions and improvements to the structure.

Research into our knowledge is now greatly facilitated by the tools of computer scientists. This does not take away the difficulty of learning: as Engels recalled, "the Marxism is a science and, like all sciences, it must be studied." We will not create a scholastic, immutable and lifeless, but a school, where the field of study is continually deepened and expanded by study itself, faced with the infinite evolution of the natural, material, and social world. A learning that spans past modes of production and up to the Revolution and Communism

on reorganizing the DEM party, changing its leadership and name to include the word "Turkey," to conform as much as possible to the government and the ruling coalition.

Öcalan, whose main concern seems to be securing his release from prison, has gone so far as to advise the CHP to withdraw from the streets.

Last but not least, there is discord within the ruling coalition, the People's Alliance. Just as AKP leader and President Erdogan seeks to ease the pressure he is under from Turkish society in general over the genocide in Palestine by cozying up to Trump, his coalition partner Devlet Bahceli, president of the traditional fascist Nationalist Movement Party (MHP), which is now more explicit in its support for the "peace process" than the AKP itself, given Bahceli's role in it) has called for an unlikely alliance between Turkey, Russia, and China. In turn, CHP leader Özgür Özel has not hesitated to attack Erdogan on the Palestinian issue and his friendly relations with Donald Trump, and is organizing a demonstration in support of Palestine.

The municipal strike in Izmir, which saw the participation of 23,000 workers, organized by Genel-Is, a member of the DISK grassroots trade union confederation, was the last major strike to take place in Turkey. Viciously attacked by the bourgeois opposition led by the CHP, which controls the municipality of Izmir, the strike was defeated and followed by mass layoffs. Class struggles continued with less intensity after this defeat.

International Press of the Party

EL PARTIDO COMUNISTA INTERNACIONAL

N. 47 - Noviembre 2025

- En Gaza no se libra una guerra nacional, sino una guerra ..

- El pacifismo en el Reino Unido

- Una masacre sin fin para repartirse las riquezas de Ucrania

- La guerra que les gusta a los patrones (Il Programma ...

- Más allá del individuo, la plenitud de la especie

- Inestabilidad y pugnas imperialistas es la democracia ...

POR EL SINDACATO DE CLASE:

- Venezuela: El gobierno convoca una constituyente sindical...

- El Paro Nacional en Ecuador: Un levantamiento sin ...

- Trabajadores en lucha en EEUU

- Argentina: Los trabajadores atrapados entre la ofensiva ...

- Manifestaciones "No Kings": La Dirección Necesaria de la Lucha...

- Volante al Local 492 de Teamster's

- La Militarización de Chicago y el Frente Popular del ...

- Incursiones del ICE en la Planta de Hyundai en Georgia

VIDA DE PARTIDO:

Reunión Internacional, del 31 de mayo al 1 de junio de 2025.

- Documentos de la izquierda del socialismo otomano y del ...

- La clase obrera en Burkina Faso

- Vicisitudes del capitalismo alemán

IL PARTITO COMUNISTA INTERNAZIONALE

N. 436 - noviembre - dicembre 2025

- Nel decadere mondiale del capitale scoppiano le rivolte ...

- Ebrei e palestinesi tra ideologie e realtà di classe

- Darfur una guerra dimenticata

- Proteste in Francia contro l'austerità

- Rivolta sociale in Indonesia

- La borghesia approfitta dell'uccisione di Kirk per reprimere i ...

PER IL SINDACATO DI CLASSE

- L'opportunismo sindacale divide la classe lavoratrice: ...

- Roma, 25 ottobre: Dinanzi alla crisi storica dell'economia ...

- Si prepara la repressione del movimento operaio

- La trasformazione urbana a Istanbul

- Compagna: Le donne comuniste di fronte al fascismo

RIUNIONE INTERNAZIONALE DEL PARTITO

- La questione agraria Il trapasso al capitalismo

- L'Internazionale dei Sindacati Rossi

- L'Iran nello studio del Partito

- Attività sindacale della sezione noramericana

- Ultime vicende della borghesia turca

- La situazione sociale in Venezuela --

- Preveggenza marxista (1929): America contro Europa

- Democrazia e fascismo: Giano bifronte del capitalismo: Quando ...

EXTERNASYONAL KOMÜNİST PARTİSİ

s.18, Kasım 2025

- Türk Burjuva Siyaseti Kaosa Sürükleniyor

- Gazze Savaşı Emperyalist Sınıf Savaşındır

- Ukrayna'nın İçin Sonsuz Bir Katliam

- Venezuela'da Wall Street için Savaşa Hayır!

- Türkiye'd